

DoD Base Realignment and Closure Part II (BRAC 91)

Department of the Air Force



FY 1997 Budget Estimates Submission

19960409 210

Justification Data Submitted to OSD: March 1996

FY 1997 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

1991 COMMISSION

1991 Commission

I.	Base	e Closure and Realignment	1
II.	Finan	ncial Summary 1991 Commission.	10
III.	Base	Closure and Realignment Detail - By Package Bergstrom AFB, Texas	11
	1. 2.	Carswell AFB, Texas	
	3.	Castle AFB, California	
		·	
	4. -	Eaker AFB, Arkansas	
	5.	England AFB, Louisiana	
	6. 7	Grissom AFB, Indiana	
	7.	Loring AFB, Maine	
	8.	Lowry AFB, Colorado	
	9.	MacDill AFB, Florida	
	10.	Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina	
	11.	Richards-Gebaur ARS, Missouri	
	12.	Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio	
	13.	Williams AFB, Arizona	
	14.	Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan	75
	15.	Chanute AFB, Illinois	78
	16.	George AFB, California	83
	17.	Mather AFB, California	86
	18.	Pease AFB, New Hampshire	97
	19.	Norton AFB, California	100
	20.	Program Management	103
	21.	Military Construction Project List by Stats	107
	22.	Loss of Land Revenue Part I & II	109
IV.	Base C	Civil Engineer List	111

FY 97 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE DATA

1991 Commission U.S. Air Force Overview

Schedule/Mission Impact: The following schedule was developed to minimize the impact on Air Force mission capability while placing priority on closing the thirteen bases and realigning one base as recommended by the 1991 Base Closure Commission and directed by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act, P.L. 101-510. It is the Air Force's objective to close the thirteen bases and realign one base at the earliest opportunity to take advantage of savings provided by reductions to Air Force end strength, reductions to base operating support costs, and anticipated proceeds from sale of real property at the closure bases. The savings associated with these closure actions are for display purposes only and have been reduced in the Air Force Topline. The funding profiles associated with the schedule have been programmed to accomplish this objective. Also included are those requirements generated by the 1991 Base Closure Commission changes to the 1988 Base Closure Commission recommendations.

The defense base closure account which funds the bases recommended for closure by the 1988 Commission will expire on September 30, 1995. The defense base closure account of 1990 will be used to fund ongoing environmental restoration and property disposal actions for the BRAC 88 closures and realignments after that date. These costs are shown in the 1990 account, and are separately identified in this portion of the budget submission. To facilitate the closure of bases as well as the transition of land and facilities to community use, Base Transition Coordinator (BTC) positions were established in FY 1993 by the Department of Defense (DOD).

FY 1992: Base Closure Account Requirement: \$204.6 Million. Air Force requirements for FY 1992 consisted of planning, designing and initiating military construction projects to accommodate the movement of units into gaining locations. Funding was also necessary for environmental compliance and restoration actions to meet requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act at each closure/realignment location.

<u>Bergstrom.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.8 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

<u>Carswell</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.5 Million Funding was due to environmental and restorations actions.

<u>Castle.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$25.3 Million
The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions, and operation and maintenance.

<u>Eaker.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.8 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.8 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

<u>Grissom</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$27.1 Million

EXHIBIT BC-01

The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

<u>Lowry.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$8.1 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

<u>MacDill</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.2 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.5 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

<u>Richards-Gebaur</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$ 2.1 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.3 Million

The funding was required to modify on-going construction projects at gaining locations in order to take advantage of reduced design and construction costs. Environmental requirements were also included.

<u>Williams</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.9 Million

The funding was primarily related to environmental and restoration actions, and some construction.

<u>Wurtsmith</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.7 Million The funding was due to environmental and restoration actions.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

Mather . Base Closure Account requirement: \$0

<u>Program Management.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$33.0 Million Funding was primarily related to planning and design costs for military construction at gaining locations and cantonment areas, additional manpower authorizations to administer the program, caretaker contracts, and environmental costs.

FY 1993: Base Closure Account Requirement: \$648.7 Million. Eaker and England AFBs closed 15 December 1992. Myrtle Beach AFB closed 31 March 1993. Bergstrom, Carswell, and Williams closed 30 September 93. Wurtsmith AFB closed 30 Jun 93. Additionally, Chanute, George, and Mather AFBs closed as recommended by the 1988 Base Closure Commission. All active duty force structure at these bases relocated or retired, and all active military and civilian personnel relocated or were eliminated from Air Force end strength. Numerous units relocated or inactivated. Funding was primarily related to construction projects and environmental requirements. Funds were required to pay both military and civilian moving costs and civilian severance costs. Additionally, to facilitate the closure of bases as well as the transition of land and facilities to community use, Base Transition Coordinator (BTC) positions were established in FY 1993 by the Department of Defense (DOD).

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$54.9 Million
The base closed 30 September 93. The funding was related to construction for the units moving to
Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, and Fort Hood, TX, and the relocation of military and civilian personnel,
and environmental requirements.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$20.5 Million

The base closed Sep 93. Funding was required for construction at gaining locations and for the AF Reserve cantonment area at Carswell. All active force structure relocated. Funding also was required for personnel moves or severance and environmental cleanup.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$17.3 Million

The base is scheduled to close in September 1995. The funding was primarily related to environmental, operations and maintenance and military personnel PCS.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.8 Million

The base closed 15 December 1992. Funding was required for environmental, operations and maintenance, and PCS of military personnel.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$30.7 Million

The base closed in 1992. Funding was required for military construction, environmental, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Grissom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.9 Million

Funding consisted of operation and maintenance, environmental, and military personnel PCS.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.3 Million

The funding was required primarily for environmental compliance and restoration costs, operation and maintenance, military personnel PCS, and procurement.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$120.1 Million

Funding was primarily related to construction at gaining locations, moving training courses and equipment, procurement of equipment for the electronics training course, environmental cleanup, and military personnel PCS.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.8 Million

Funding was required for construction to support units moving to Luke AFB, AZ, and Seymour Johnson AFB, NC. Funds were also required for moving people, munitions, and equipment, and environmental actions.

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.8 Million

The base closed 31 March 1993. Funding was primarily related to construction for units moving to Luke AFB, AZ, Pope AFB, NC, and Seymour Johnson AFB, NC, such as the 73rd Air Tactical Control Squadron. Funding was required for caretaker costs, and relocation of personnel.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.5 Million

Funding was required for construction supporting units moving to Whiteman AFB, MO, as well as operation and maintenance, and environmental costs.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$48.5 Million

The 4950 Test Wing began its relocation to Edwards AFB, CA. Funding was required for construction at the gaining locations to support this unit and to move personnel and equipment, procurement, and environmental compliance and restoration operations.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$17.5 Million

The base closed 30 September 1993. Funding is primarily related to operation and maintenance costs, EXHIBIT BC-01

environmental requirements, and military personnel PCS.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.7 Million

The base closed 30 June 1993. Funding primarily related to operation and maintenance, and environmental compliance and restoration

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$42.2 Million

The base closed 30 September 1993. Funding required for construction for redirected activities and communications installation at gaining locations, operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$51.0 Million

The base closed in 1992. Most of the funding consists of military construction. Also included are operation and maintenance, and military personnel PCS.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$45.1 Million

The base closed in 1993. Construction is required at Randolph AFB, TX, and at the Mather hospital which will become an annex to McClellan. Communications equipment must be installed in the new facilities. Funds are required for military personnel PCS, as well as procurement.

Program Management. Base Closure Account requirement: \$72.1 Million

Funding is related to planning and design costs for military construction at gaining locations and cantonment areas, additional manpower authorizations to administer the program, caretaker contracts, and disposal environmental studies.

FY 1994. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$192.6 Million. Grissom, Loring, Lowry, Richards-Gebaur and Rickenbacker closed by September 94. All active duty force structure at these bases relocated or retired, and all active military and civilian personnel relocated or were eliminated from Air Force end strength. In addition, the active flying mission assigned to MacDill has been terminated, and the remainder of the base was converted to an administrative base. The Air Force will continue funding the operation of the airfield until October 1995, with the largest requirements for environmental and operation and maintenance. Funds are required overall to pay both military and civilian PCS and civilian severance costs. Environmental studies will be completed or continued as necessary and environmental restoration will be initiated. Numerous units relocated or deactivated.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.1 Million

Funding is due to military construction costs, caretaker and disposal management team, and environmental requirements.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$5.9 Million

Funding is due to environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements. Also included is military construction.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.3 Million

Funding is due to environmental, and operation and maintenance requirements. Also included is military construction and procurement.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.9 Million

Funding is due to caretaker requirements.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.2 Million

EXHIBIT BC-01

Funding is due to caretaker requirements.

Grissom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$10.9 Million

The base closed in the fourth quarter. Funding is primarily related to construction of the AF Reserve cantonment area, relocation of forces, and environmental compliance and restoration costs and procurement.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$37.4 Million

The base closed in FY 1994. The funding is primarily related to relocation of forces, and environmental compliance and restoration costs, and PCS of military personnel.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$25.2 Million

The base closed in FY 1994. Funding supports relocation of remaining training courses to various other Technical Training Centers. The Air Reserve Personnel Center, Defense Finance and Accounting Service, and the 3567 USAF Recruiting Squadron remain in a cantonment area. The 1001 Space Systems Squadron will also remain in a separate cantonment area. Funding supports military personnel PCS as well as procurement and caretaker requirements.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.0 Million

The base is scheduled to complete all closure and realignment actions by October 1995, when it transfers to the Department of Commerce. The Joint Communications Support Element (JCSE) will remain. Funding supports procurement, and operation and maintenance costs.

<u>Myrtle Beach</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.3 Million Funding supports caretaker requirements..

<u>Richards-Gebaur</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.7 Million The base closed in FY 1994. Funding supports environmental, and caretaker requirements.

<u>Rickenbacker</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.5 Million Funding is for operation and maintenance requirements.

<u>Williams</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.0 Million

Funding supports environmental cleanup, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.3 Million

Funding supports environmental, and caretaker and disposal management team requirements..

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.3 Million

Funding is required to complete construction at Vandenberg AFB, CA., for transferring units.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.2 Million

Funding is required for military construction.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0 Million

<u>Program Management</u>. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$15.4 Million Funding is for planning and design for construction, as well as salaries and travel for personnel at AFBCA.

FY 1995. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$133.5 Million. Castle AFB, CA will close by the end of fiscal year 1995. All other bases will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred.

<u>Bergstrom.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.1 Million Funding is required for primarily for environmental cleanup.

<u>Carswell.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.8 Million Funding is required for caretaker costs.

<u>Castle.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$45.6 Million

The base is scheduled to close on 30 September 1995. Funding is required for construction, and operation and maintenance costs and environmental cleanup.

<u>Eaker</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.7 Million Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

<u>England</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.1 Million Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

<u>Grissom</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.6 Million Funding supports environmental cleanup and caretaker and disposal management team requirements.

<u>Lowry</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$17.6 Million Funding is required for military construction, and caretaker requirements and environmental cleanup.

<u>MacDill</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.3 Million Funding is required for military construction and environmental cleanup.

<u>Myrtle Beach</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.4 Million Funding supports environmental cleanup and some caretaker requirements.

<u>Richards-Gebaur</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million Funding is primarily related to military construction and environmental compliance and restoration.

<u>Rickenbacker</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.7 Million Funding is required for caretaker requirements.

<u>Williams</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.4 Million Funding is required for caretaker requirements and environmental cleanup.

<u>Wurtsmith</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$8.5 Million Funding is required for caretaker requirements and environmental compliance and restoration.

<u>Chanute.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.4 Million Funding is required for military construction.

<u>George.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.6 Million Funding is for military construction.

<u>Mather</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million Funding is required for military construction.

<u>Program Management</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$15.9 Million Funding is required to administer the environmental program, and to support the Air Force Base Conversion Agency.

<u>FY 1996</u>. Base Closure Account Requirement. \$211.5 Million. All bases recommended for closure have closed by the end of FY 95 and will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred.

<u>Bergstrom.</u> Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.8 Million Funding is required to continue environmental cleanup and compliance actions.

<u>Carswell</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.2 Million Funding is required to complete environmental cleanup and compliance actions.

<u>Castle</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.0 Million Funding supports caretaker requirements, military construction and environmental cleanup and disposal requirements.

<u>Eaker</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.7 Million Funding is required to support environmental requirements.

<u>England</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$7.1 Million Funding is due to environmental compliance and restoration costs.

<u>Grissom</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.3 Million Funding supports caretaker requirements, environmental cleanup and disposal requirements.

<u>Loring</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$16.4 Million Funding is required to support caretaker requirements and environmental requirements.

<u>Lowry</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$36.7 Million Funding supports caretaker requirements, military construction and environmental requirements.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.7 Million

<u>Myrtle Beach</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$13.2 Million Funding supports military construction, caretaker requirements and environmental cleanup.

<u>Richards-Gebaur</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.5 Million Funding supports military construction, caretaker requirements and environmental cleanup.

<u>Rickenbacker</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.8 Million Funding supports caretaker requirements, military construction and environmental requirements.

<u>Williams</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.8 Million EXHIBIT BC-01

Funding is required to support environmental cleanup and caretaker requirements.

<u>Wurtsmith</u>. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.8 Million Funding supports environmental cleanup and caretaker requirements.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.6 Million

Funding is required to support environmental restoration and caretaker requirements.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.8 Million

Funding supports environmental cleanup and caretaker requirements.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$14.0 Million

Funding supports environmental requirements and military construction.

Pease. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.6 Million

Funding supports environmental requirements.

Norton. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.5 Million

Funding supports environmental restoration and compliance requirements.

Program Management. Base Closure Account requirement: \$31.0 Million

Funding is primarily for environmental compliance overhead costs.

<u>FY 1997.</u> Base Closure Account Requirement: \$249.5 Million. All bases closed by the end of FY 95 but will continue in caretaker status until property ownership is transferred. Environmental restoration will continue until completion.

Bergstrom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.5M

Funding is required to support environmental cleanup and restoration requirements.

Carswell. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.8M

Funding primarily support environmental cleanup and the costs associated with the AFBCA OL at Carswell AFB.

Castle. Base Closure Account requirement: \$30.0 Million

Funding is required to support a Military Family Housing project at Altus AFB and to continue the environmental cleanup and restoration requirements. \$3.5M of this amount is required to support the AFBCA OL at Castle AFB.

Eaker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$6.6 Million

Funding supports environmental cleanup requirements and the AFBCA OL at Eaker AFB.

England. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.1Million

Funding is required to support environmental restoration and compliance requirements.

Grissom. Base Closure Account requirement: \$9.6 Million

Funding supports military construction as well as environmental requirements. Approximately \$1.0M of this amount is required for the AFBCA OL at Grissom AFB.

Loring. Base Closure Account requirement: \$19.0 Million

Funding supports the operation and mainteance costs at the AFBCA OL as well as the environmental requirements at Loring AFB.

Lowry. Base Closure Account requirement: \$46.3 Million

Funding is required to support military construction as well as environmental requirements and the operations and maintenance costs associated with maintaining the AFBCA OL at Lowry AFB.

MacDill. Base Closure Account requirement: \$0.0 Million

Myrtle Beach. Base Closure Account requirement: \$4.0 Million

Funding supports requirements for environmental cleanup and restoration . Approximately \$0.4 M is required to maintain the AFBCA OL at Myrtle Beach AFB.

Richards-Gebaur. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.1 Million

Funding supports environmental requirements as well as the costs associated with the AFBCA OL at Richards-Gebaur.

Rickenbacker. Base Closure Account requirement: \$21.3 Million

Funding supports military construction, environmental cleanup and property disposal as well as caretaker operations at the AFBCA OL.

Williams. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.1 Million

Funding is required for environmental restoration requirements and caretaker operations at the AFBCA OL at Williams AFB.

Wurtsmith. Base Closure Account requirement: \$11.1 Million

Funding supports environmental cleanup and caretaker operations at the AFBCA OL at Wurtsmith AFB.

Chanute. Base Closure Account requirement: \$3.4 Million

Funding supports military construction, environmental and caretaker operations for the AFBCA OL at Chanute AFB.

George. Base Closure Account requirement: \$1.8 Million

Funding is required to support the caretaker functions at the AFBCA OL and environmental cleanup.

Mather. Base Closure Account requirement: \$31.1 Million

Funding is due primarily to support environmental requirements for cleanup and restoration.

Pease. Base Closure Account requirement: \$2.9 Million

Funding supports the requirements for environmental cleanup and property disposal.

Norton. Base Closure Account requirement: \$8.6 Million

Funding is due primarily to support environmental requirements.

Program Management. Base Closure Account Requirement: \$45.9 Million

Funding supports salary cost of AFBCA personnel and the Base Transition Coordinators as well as the requirements for environmental program management. Approximately \$5.8M supports the military construction (planning and design).

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOURTS - 1991 COMMISSION USAF FINANCIAL SUMMERY (DOLLARS IN TENUSANDS)

CONTRACTOR OF STATE O	2007 4324		CONTROL SI	(C)		NOO V AREA			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FT 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FT 1995	I'Y 1990	FY 1997	- 1	F I 1999 F	1 36-39
Military Construction	22,062	353,147	27,322	40,411	64,660	39,800	0	0	547,402
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	200	0	0	22,973	0	0	23,173
- Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	181,778	162,734	63,015	62,197	115,100	155,429	196,061	36,923	973,237
Operation & Maintenance	635	116,294	85,511	30,910	31,740	31,277	26,039	21,977	344,383
Military Personnel - PCS	0	14,621	12,715	0	0	0	0	0	27,336
Other	125	1,929	3,801	0	0	0	0	0	5,855
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE TIME COSTS	204,600	648,724	192,564	133,518	211,500	249,479	222,100	58,900	1,921,385
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BUDGET AUTHORITY REQUEST	204,600	648,724	192,564	133,518	211,500	249,479	222,100	58,900	1,921,385
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:									
Military Construction	9,756	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,756
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	48,617	0	0	8,000	17,006	0	0	0	73,623
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	40,493	23,308	25,286	0	0	0	0	89,087
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	58,373	40,493	23,308	33,286	17,006	0	0	0	172,466
SAVINGS:									
Military Construction	114,528	12,408	19,012	21,487	22,225	22,985	0	0	212,645
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	21,851	37,294	42,372	43,812	45,290	0	0	190,619
Operation & Maintenance	0	-3,073	117,079	151,796	161,958	168,108	0	0	595,868
Military Personnel	0	152,340	359,911	451,325	487,795	507,243	0	0	1,958,614
Other	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(1,361)	(2,595)	(2,818)	(2,818)	(2,818)	0	0	-2,818
Military ES	0	(7,565)	(10,692)	(11,881)	(11,881)	(11,881)	0	0	-11,881
TOTAL SAVINGS	114,528	183,526	533,296	086'999	715,790	743,626	0	0	2,957,746
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	.,								
Military Construction	-82,710	340,739	8,310	18,924	42,435	16,815	0	0	344,513
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	200	0	0	22,973	0	0	23,173
- Operations	0	-21,851	-37,294	-42,372	-43,812	-45,290	0	0	-190,619
Environmental	181,778	162,734	63,015	62,197	115,100	155,429	0	0	973,237
Operation & Maintenance	49,252	119,367	-31,568	-112,886	-113,212	-136,831	0	0	-177,862
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-137,719	-347,196	-451,325	-487,795	-507,243	0	0	-1,931,278
Other	125	1,929	3,801	0	0	0	0	0	5,855
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	40,493	23,308	25,286	0	0	0	0	89,087
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	148,445	505,691	-317,424	-500,176	-487,284	-494,147	0	0	-863,895

Bergstrom AFB, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/BERGSTROM AFB, TX (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	14,964	265	50
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	16,809	28,209	2,863	7,035
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,044	998	62
Military Personnel - PCS	0	6,393	0	0
Other	0	251	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	16,809	54,861	4,126	7,147
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	16,809	54,861	4,126	7,147
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	208
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	15	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	. 0	15	0	208
SAVINGS:	•	0	0	0
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	1.022	2.001
- Operations	0	1,867	1,933	2,001
Operation & Maintenance	0	-4,048 20,554	-1,187	-1,236 41,246
Military Personnel	0	20,554	39,641 0	41,240
Other	0	(30)	(30)	(30)
Civilian ES	0	(1,043)	(1,043)	(1,043)
Military ES		•		
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	18,373	40,387	42,011
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	14.064	265	50
Military Construction	0	14,964 0	203	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	-1,867	-1,933	-2,001
- Operations	16,809	28,209	2,863	7,035
Environmental	10,809	9,092	2,185	1,506
Operation & Maintenance	0	-14,161	-39,641	-41,246
Military Personnel - PCS	0	251	-52,041	0
Other Homeowners Assistance Program	0	15	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)				
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	16,809	36,503	-36,261	-34,656

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/BERGSTROM AFB,TX (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	15,279
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,333	1,282	58,531
Operation & Maintenance	480	199	6,783
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	6,393
Other	0	0	251
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,813	1,481	87,237
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,813	1,481	87,237
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	208
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	223
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,069	2,139	10,009
Operation & Maintenance	-1,285	-1,336	-9,092
Military Personnel	42,886	44,596	188,923
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(30)	(30)	(30)
Military ES	(1,043)	(1,043)	(1,043)
TOTAL SAVINGS	43,670	45,399	189,840
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	15,279
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-2,069	-2,139	-10,009
Environmental	2,333	1,282	58,531
Operation & Maintenance	1,765	1,535	16,083
Military Personnel - PCS	-42,886	-44,596	-182,530
Other	0	0	251
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-40,857	-43,918	-102,380

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Bergstrom Air Force Base, Texas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Bergstrom AFB, Texas, closed and assigned RF-4 aircraft retired in FY 1993. The Air Force Reserve units will remain in a cantonment area. The rest of the base is to be converted to a civilian airport. In this case, funds will be required for construction of facilities. Bregstrom AFB closed in 1995.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.2 Million.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$1.3 Million.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Carswell AFB, Texas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/CARSWELL AFB, TX (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	5,377	610	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	5,532	4,981	1,483	330
Operation & Maintenance	0	7,670	3,764	466
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2,451	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	5,532	20,479	5,857	796
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	5,532	20,479	5,857	796
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	192
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6,333	7,008	2,031
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	6,333	7,008	2,223
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	3,294	3,411	3,531
Operation & Maintenance	0	-24,725	-17,685	-18,300
Military Personnel	0	35,842	66,300	68,985
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(26)	(26)	(26)
Military ES	0	(1,648)	(1,648)	(1,648)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	14,411	52,026	54,216
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	£ 0.77	(10	0
Military Construction	0	5,377	610	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-3,294	-3,411	-3,531
Environmental	5,532	4,981	1,483	330
Operation & Maintenance	0	32,395	21,449	18,958
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-33,391	-66,300	-68,985
Other	0	6 222	7,009	2 021
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6,333	7,008	2,031
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	5,532	12,401	-39,161	-51,197

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/CARSWELL AFB, TX (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	5,987
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	1,729	2,744	16,799
Operation & Maintenance	462	28	12,390
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	2,451
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,191	2,772	37,627
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,191	2,772	37,627
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	192
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15,372
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	15,564
SAVINGS:	_		
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,651	3,774	17,661
Operation & Maintenance	-18,911	-19,546	-99,167
Military Personnel Other	71,728 0	74,588 0	317,443 0
Civilian ES	(26)	(26)	(26)
Military ES	(1,648)	(1,648)	(1,648)
TOTAL SAVINGS	56,468	58,816	235,937
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	5,987
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,651	-3,774	-17,661
Environmental	1,729	2,744	16,799
Operation & Maintenance	19,373	19,574	111,749
Military Personnel - PCS	-71,728	-74,588	-314,992
Other	. 0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	15,372
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-54,277	-56,044	-182,746

EXHIBIT BC-02

15

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Carswell Air Force Base, Texas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Carswell AFB, Texas, closed in FY 1993. The 301st Tactical Fighter Wing (AFR), 457th Tactical Fighter Squadron (AFR), 73rd Aerial Port Squadron (AFR), and 20th Medical Services Squadron (AFR) remain at Carswell AFB, in a cantonment area. Carsewll AFB transferred to the Navy in 1995. The reserve units will remain as tennants.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.03 Million.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$2.7 Million.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Castle AFB, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/CASTLE AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	1,545	27,499
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	200	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	25,076	15,548	29,454	13,350
Operation & Maintenance	250	1,711	4,697	4,742
Military Personnel - PCS	0	6	0	0
Other	0	0	443	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	25,326	17,265	36,339	45,591
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	25,326	17,265	36,339	45,591
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	. 0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	1,969
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,828	7,762	12,461
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	7,828	7,762	14,430
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	3,766
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	9,686
Military Personnel	0	0	0	27,231
Other	0	0	0	(222)
Civilian ES	0	0	0	(223)
Military ES	0	0	. 0	(1,189)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	40,683
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	0	1.545	07.400
Military Construction	0	0	1,545	27,499
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	200	2.766
- Operations	0	0	0	-3,766
Environmental Operation & Maintenance	25,076	15,548	29,454	13,350
Operation & Maintenance Military Personnel - PCS	250	1,711	4,697 0	-2,975 27,231
Other	0 0	6 0	443	-27,231 0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,828	7,762	12,461
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	7,828 0	7,762	12,401
	_			
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	25,326	25,093	44,101	19,338

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/CASTLE AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	19,726	0	48,770
Family Housing - Construction	0	22,973	23,173
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	13,348	4,461	101,237
Operation & Maintenance	2,887	3,501	17,788
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	6
Other	0	0	443
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	35,961	30,935	191,417
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	35,961	30,935	191,417
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,969
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	28,051
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	30,020
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,894	4,026	11,686
Operation & Maintenance	14,507	15,057	39,250
Military Personnel	46,838	48,706	122,775
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(223)	(223)	(223)
Military ES	(1,189)	(1,189)	(1,189)
TOTAL SAVINGS	65,239	67,789	173,711
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	19,726	0	48,770
Family Housing - Construction	0	22,973	23,173
- Operations	-3,894	-4,026	-11,686
Environmental	13,348	4,461	101,237
Operation & Maintenance	-11,620	-11,556	-19,493
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,838	-48,706	-122,769
Other	0	0	443
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	28,051
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-29,278	-36,854	47,726

EXHIBIT BC-02

18

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Castle Air Force Base, California

<u>Closure Package</u>: Castle AFB, California, closed in FY 1995. The 93 Bombardment Wing deactivated. Per the 1993 Commission, the bomber and tanker Combat Crew Training missions were redirected to Barksdale AFB, Louisiana (B-52) and Altus AFB, Oklahoma (KC-135).

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction:

State/Installation Project Title/# Fiscal Year Amount (\$000)

FY 1997

Oklahoma/Altus AFB Family Housing (180 units)*/AGGN954015 1997 22,973

Total FY 1997 22,973

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.5 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$4.5 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Castle AFB, California Package

FY 1997 Forms 1391 (Family Housing Construction Project Data)

| 1. COMPONENT | 2. DATE | FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA | 5 MAR 1996

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE - CONSTRUCT

ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 18. PROJECT COST (\$000)

8.87.41 711-142 AGGN954015 22,973

9. COST ESTIMATE	9. Cost estimates							
		1	UNIT	COST				
ITEM	M/D	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)				
BC-CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING	UN	180	57,845	10,412				
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1	ĺ		10,326				
GARAGES	LS	i i		(1,160)				
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	LS	[[(285)				
SITE PREPARATION	LS	1		(652)				
ROADS AND PAVING	LS	i		(1,272)				
UTILITIES	LS	[(1,389)				
UNITE GROSS AREAS	LS			(2,300)				
RECREATION/LANDSCAPING	LS	[[(1,600)				
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS	LS	i 1		(1,208)				
LAND ACQUISTION	LS			(460)				
SUBTOTAL	1	ĺ		20,738				
CONTINGENCY (5%)	Į	i 1		1,037				
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	1	· 1		21,775				
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (5.5%)	1	l Í		1,198				
TOTAL REQUEST	1 1	ĺ		22,973				
		i		İ				
AREA COST FACTOR .92	İ	İ		i				

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct family housing units to include heating, cooling, appliances, garages, patios and storage. Support facilities include site grading, flood control and drainage, road systems (including bridges, sidewalks, curb and gutter, utility distribution systems, landscaping, sprinkler systems, maintenance facility, and recreational areas. Includes land purchase (65 Acres).

		NET	PROJECT	\$/	NO.	
UNIT	TYPE	area	FACTOR	<u>nsm</u>	UNITS	TOTAL COST
JNCO	2BR	950	-88	60	100	5,016,000
JMCO	3BR	1200	.88	60	20	1,267,200
SNCO	3BR	1350	.88	60	30	2,138,400
SNCO	4BR	1450	.88	60	5	382,800
CGO	2BR	950	.88	60	10	501,600
CGO	3BR	1350	-88	60	5	356,400
CCO	4BR	1450	.88	60	4	306,240
FGO	3BR	1400	.88	60	6	443,520
					180	10,412,160

11. REQUIREMENT: 180 UN ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0 PROJECT: Construct 180 military family housing units.

REQUIREMENT: Closure of Castle Air Force Base. Project is required to provide adequate family housing for the additional families expected at Altus AFB as a result of the KC-135 combat Crew Training School (CCTS) relocating from Castle AFB.

CURRENT SITUATION: A 1995 Family Housing Market Analysis (HMA), and the current MFH Community Plan, show a deficit of 226 family housing units at

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA 1 5 MAR 1996 AIR FORCE (computer generated) 3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION ALTUS AIR FORCE BASE, OKLAHOMA 4. PROJECT TITLE 5. PROJECT NUMBER BASE CLOSURE - CONSTRUCT MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING AGGN954015R2

Altus AFB. The population increases due to BRAC moves was incorporated into the HMA. The CCTS relocated from Castle AFB added additional accompanied enlisted and officer personnel, for a total Base Closure housing requirement of 180 additional units. Altus, Oklahoma, which has a population of approximately 22,000. The next nearest large community is Lawton, Oklahoma, located 50 miles east of Altus AFB. The city of Altus currently does not have sufficient suitable quarters to accept the expected population increase. Also, the city recently gained a large meatpacking operation, which further increased pressure on the local bousing market.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The additional Air force members and their families will have to be housed in unacceptable off-base quarters, or they will be required to live excessive distances from the base to find adequate housing. Either situation will have an adverse affect on morale, performance, and the retention of quality personnel.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

1 CC	MP	ONENT		- 1	2. DATE
			FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	A	
AIR F 3. IN			ND LOCATION	<u> </u>	16 MARCH 1996
ALTU:	S All	R FORCE B	ASE, OKLAHOMA		
‡. PF	ROJE	CT TITLE		5. P	ROJECT NUMBER
BASE	CLC	SURE- FAN	MILY HOUSING/LAND	AGG	N954015R3
2. S	SUPF	PLEMENTAL	DATA:		
a. E	stim	ated Design	Data:		
((1)	Status:			
`	. ,	(a) Date D	esing Started:		93 DEC
			etric Cost Estimates Used to Develop Costs t Complete as of Jan 1996		Y 100%
		(d) Date 35	5% Designed		94 FEB 01
		(e) Date D	esign Complete		94 MAY 23
((2)	Basis:			
		(a) Standa	rd or Definitive Design Design Was Most Recently Used		
,					(0000)
((a) Product	c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e): tion of Plans and Specifications		(\$000)
		(b) All Othe (c) Total	er Design Costs		2205 2205
		(d) Contrac			1323
		(e) In Hou	se ·		882
(-	4)	Construction	n Start		
_					
b. E	quip	oment assoc	iated with this project will be provided from other appropriation	ns:	

Eaker AFB, Arkansas Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/EAKER AFB, AR (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	9,872	8,436	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,669	1,943	716
Military Personnel - PCS	0	704	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	. 0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	9,872	15,809	1,943	716
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	9,872	15,809	1,943	716
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	500
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,719	953	104
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	4,719	953	604
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	2,965	3,070	3,178
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,826	17,114	17,777
Military Personnel	0	19,338	40,059	41,681
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(234)	(234)	(234)
Military ES	0	(1,031)	(1,031)	(1,031)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	29,129	60,243	62,636
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-2,965	-3,070	-3,178
Environmental	9,872	8,436	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	-157	-15,171	-16,561
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-18,635	-40,059	-41,681
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,719	953	104
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	. 0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	9,872	-8,602	-57,347	-61,316
EXHIBIT BC-02				

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/EAKER AFB, AR (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	5,537	5,922	29,767
Operation & Maintenance	1,181	662	11,171
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	704
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	6,718	6,584	41,642
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	6,718	6,584	41,642
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	. 0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	500
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	5,776
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	6,276
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,286	3,397	15,896
Operation & Maintenance	18,432	19,118	79,267
Military Personnel	43,338	45,066	189,482
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(234)	(234)	(234)
Military ES	(1,031)	(1,031)	(1,031)
TOTAL SAVINGS	65,056	67,581	284,645
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,286	-3,397	-15,896
Environmental	5,537	5,922	29,767
Operation & Maintenance	-17,251	-18,456	-67,596
Military Personnel - PCS	-43,338	-45,066	-188,779
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	5,776
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-58,338	-60,997	-236,728
EXHIBIT BC-02			24

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Eaker Air Force Base, Arkansas

<u>Closure Package</u>: Eaker AFB, Arkansas, closed 15 December 1992. The 97 Bombardment Wing was inactivated as a result of the closure. The B-52G aircraft retired and the assigned KC-135A aircraft were redistributed to other active and Air Reserve Component units in 1991.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$.7 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$6.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

England AFB, Louisiana Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/ENGLAND AFB, LA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	9,657	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	7,782	15,028	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,835	2,171	1,086
Military Personnel - PCS	0	167	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	7,782	30,687	2,171	1,086
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	7,782	30,687	2,171	1,086
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	. 0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	Ó	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	1,527
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	1,920	905	91
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	1,920	905	1,618
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	1,867	1,933	2,001
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,936	21,762	22,586
Military Personnel	0	17,444	32,041	33,338
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	(219)	(219)	(219)
Military ES	0	(859)	(859)	(859)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	22,247	55,736	57,925
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	9,657	. 0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-1,867	-1,933	-2,001
Environmental	7,782	15,028	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,899	-19,591	-19,973
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-17,277	-32,041	-33,338
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	1,920	905	91
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	7,782	10,360	-52,660	-55,221

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISION USAF/ENGLAND AFB, LA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	9,6
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	$\overline{0}$
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	6,950	1,970	31,730
Operation & Maintenance	104	91	9,287
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	167
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	7,054	2,061	50,841
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	7,054	2,061	50,841
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	` 0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,527
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2,916
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	4,4
SAVINGS:			•
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,069	2,139	10,009
Operation & Maintenance	23,403	24,253	94,940
Military Personnel	34,664	36,046	153,533
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(219)	(219)	(219)
Military ES	(859)	(859)	(859)
TOTAL SAVINGS	60,136	62,438	258,482
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	_		0 4 5 5
Military Construction	0	0	9,657
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	10,000
- Operations	-2,069	-2,139	-10,009
Environmental	6,950	1,970	31,730
Operation & Maintenance	-23,299	-24,162	-84,126
Military Personnel - PCS	-34,664	-36,046	-153,366
Other	0	0	201
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2,9
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	402 102
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-53,082	-60,377	-203,198
	•		

EXHIBIT BC-02

27

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/England Air Force Base, Louisiana

Closure Package: England AFB, Louisiana, closed 15 December 1992.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.1 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$2.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Grissom AFB, Indiana Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/GRISSOM AFB, IN (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	2,918	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,354	5,319	481	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	478	3,577	1,386
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,158	3,795	0
Other	0	0	100	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,354	6,955	10,871	1,386
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,354	6,955	10,871	1,386
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	345
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	17	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	17	0	345
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	5,799	6,003
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	6,194	6,761
Military Personnel	0	0	21,523	44,472
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	(18)	(18)
Military ES	0	0	(1,162)	(1,162)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	33,516	57,236
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	2,918	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	-5,799	-6,003
Environmental	1,354	5,319	481	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	478	-2,617	-5,030
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,158	-17,728	-44,472
Other Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	100	0
Homeowners Assistance Program Pevenues from Land Sales ()	0	17 0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	_			
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,354	6,972	-22,645	-55,505

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/GRISSOM AFB, IN (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	3,664	6,600	13,182
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	3,340	1,937	12,431
Operation & Maintenance	2,250	1,024	8,715
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	4,953
Other	0	0	100
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	9,254	9,561	39,381
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	9,254	9,561	39,381
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	345
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	17
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	362
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	6,207	6,416	24,425
Operation & Maintenance	6,994	7,235	27,184
Military Personnel	46,240	48,083	160,318
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(18)	(18)	(18)
Military ES	(1,162)	(1,162)	(1,162)
TOTAL SAVINGS	59,441	61,734	211,927
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	3,664	6,600	13,182
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-6,207	-6,416	-24,425
Environmental	3,340	1,937	12,431
Operation & Maintenance	-4,744	-6,211	-18,124
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,240	-48,083	-155,365
Other	0	0	100
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	17
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-50,187	-52,173	-172,184
EXHIBIT BC-0)2		30

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Grissom Air Force Base, Indiana

<u>Closure Package</u>: Grissom AFB, Indiana, closed during FY 94. Funding is for construction at Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

State/Installation	Project Title/#	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount <u>(\$000)</u>
FY 1997 Indiana/Grissom AFB Ohio/Wright-Patterson AFB	Munitions Storage/CTGC959019 NOAC Complex/ZHTV943204	1997 1997	1,500 5,100
Total FY 1997	. ,	. • •	6,600

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.0 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$2.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Grissom AFB, Indiana Package

FY 1997 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
USAFR		TRUCTION PROJECT DATA generated)	1 9 MAR 1996
3. INSTALLATION GRISSOM AIR FO	FIONS		
	MENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7.	PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJE	ECT COST(\$000)

CTGC959019

422-264

9. COST ESTIMA	TES				
			UNIT	co	ST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$0	00)
BASE CLOSURE - MUNITIONS STORAGE	LS				954
STORAGE IGLOO	SM	240	920	(221)
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	SM	110	800	(88)
MUNITIONS STORAGE	SM	74	840	(62)
SMALL ARMS RANGE	FP	15	25,000	(375)
COMBAT ARMS TRAINING	SM	130	1,600	(208)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES					405
UTILITIES	LS		J	(240)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(120)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS	ļ		(<u>45</u>)
SUBTOTAL	1			1	,359
CONTINGENCY (5%)					_68
TOTAL CONTRACT COST]	j	1	,427
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	-			_	86
TOTAL REQUEST	1			1	,513
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	ľ			1	,500

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 15 firing point small arms range with concrete baffles, bullet stops, firing platforms, range canopy, control booths and a training facility. Includes munitions storage complex consisting of a igloo, above ground magazine, and a maintenance facility.

Air Conditioning: 10 KW.

5.53.96F

11. REQUIREMENT: 15 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0
PROJECT: Construct a new munitions storage and small arms rifle range within the cantonment area.

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: Realignment of Grissom AFB into a new cantonment area. Provide safe and secure storage of various munition required to support the new mission. The facility will store and inspect small arms ammunition, grenades, and mortars.

CURRENT SITUATION: Currently the unit is using munitions storage facilities that are excessed and outside the cantonment area. No facilities exist inside the new cantonment area that can be altered for this requirement.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The Reserve unit will not be able to store the munitions determined to be essential to the mission.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facilities Planning and Design Guide".

1,500

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	ra	i 9 8:A3 1936
USAFR	(computer generated)		1 2 may 1999
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION		
†	ORCE BASE, INDIANA	F 550	7505 1111/555
4. PROJECT TI	TLE	5. PRO	JECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	- MUNITIONS STORAGE	CTG	C959019
12. SUPPLEME	NTAL DATA:		
a. Estimat	ed Design Data:		
(1) St	atus:		
	Date Design Started		95 APR 01
	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs	N
1	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996		65%
	Date 35% Designed.		95 SEP 04
(e)	Date Design Complete		96 MAY 10
(2) Ba	sis:		
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) To	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a)			, ,
(b)	All Other Design Costs		150
(c)	Total		150
(d)	Contract		90
(e)	In-house		60
(4) Co	enstruction Start		97 MAR
b. Equipment	associated with this project will be provideriations: N/A	ed from	ı
	,,		

1. COMPONENT 2. DATE 1 9 MAR 1996 FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA AIR FORCE (computer generated)

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO BASE CLOSURE-NAOC COMPLEX

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

ZHTV943204 3.20.15F 211-154 5,100 COCH DOMINAMEC

9. COST ESTIMATE	SS			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	ש/ט	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-NAOC COMPLEX	PN	75	28,600	2,145
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				2,445
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS/VEHICLE PARKING	LS			(255)
AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIP OPS AREA	LS			(50)
REFUEL VEHICLE PARKING	LS			(180)
AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIP MAINT	SM	950	842	(800)
ALARM AND TRAFFIC CONTROL SYS	LS			(180)
AIRCRAFT PARKING UTILITIES/LIGHTING	LS			(380)
BLAST FENCE AND PAVING ALTERATIONS	LF	250	2,000	(500)
DEMOLISH BUILDINGS	LS			(100)
SUBTOTAL				4,590
CONTINGENCY (5%)	1			230
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				4,820
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				289
TOTAL REQUEST				5,109

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct pre-engineered metal facility on concrete slab for support equip facilities. Concrete, CMU, steel structure for quarters and operations. Construct parking area for refueling and alert vehicles. Install alarms and traffic control system for aircraft and required utilities. Includes aircraft blast fences and alterations to the parking apron. Airfield lighting/access roads. Air Conditioning: 176 KW.

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)

PROJECT: Provide a National Airborne Operations Center (NAOC) Forward Operating Location (FOL). This project is due to the closure of Grissom AFB.

REQUIREMENT: A FOL is required for NAOC which can provide adequate support for mission planning, aircraft service and maintenance and personnel. Personnel must be provided adequate quarters to be rested for nationally critical missions.

CURRENT SITUATION: The NAOC FOL is currently located at Grissom AFB. Wright-Patterson can support similar large aircraft, but must be upgraded to meet the unique requirements of the NEACP E-4. Security, utility support, and adequate facilities are not adequate at Wright-Patterson. IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The National Emergency Airborne Command Post will be forced to operate from facilities which provide only minimum mission capabilities. This one-of-a-kind mission may be subject to degradation because of inadequate aircraft mission and personnel support.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

5,100

^{11.} REQUIREMENT: As required.

	ì				
		FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			_
AIR FORC		(computer generated)	19 1	IAR 1	996
3. INSTA	LLATIO	N AND LOCATION			
		ON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO			
4. PROJE	CT TIT	LE 5. P	ROJECT	NUM	BER
פאפים מדר	CIIDE-N	AOC COMPLEX		004	
SASE CLC	JOURE-IN	AUC COMPLEX	HTV943	204	
l2. SUF	PLEMEN	TAL DATA:			
a. Es	timate	d Design Data:			
(1	.) Sta	tus:			
		Date Design Started		NOV	11
		Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs			Y
		Percent Complete as of Jan 1996			35₹
		Date 35% Designed.		APR	
	(e)	Date Design Complete	96	OCT	01
(2) Bas	is:			
`	(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -			
		Where Design Was Most Recently Used -			
(3) Tot	al Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(50	000
•		Production of Plans and Specifications		(+ 1	
		All Other Design Costs		į	510
	(C)	Total		Ş	510
	(d)	Contract		3	306
	(e)	In-house		2	204
(4) Con	struction Start		97 3	JUN

b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A

Loring AFB, Maine Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/LORING AFB, ME (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	27,121	31,047	22,618	13,559
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,569	10,232	3,028
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,084	4,554	0
Other	0	553	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	27,121	36,253	37,404	16,587
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	27,121	36,253	37,404	16,587
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	1,000
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,219	4,120	7,919
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	4,219	4,120	8,919
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0.	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	5,912	6,120
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	22,833	31,958
Military Personnel Other	0	0	17,708	34,900
Civilian ES	0	0 0	0 (429)	0 (429)
Military ES	0	0	(1,019)	(1,019)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	46,453	72,978
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			,	,
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	-5,912	-6,120
Environmental	27,121	31,047	22,618	13,559
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,569	-12,601	-27,930
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,084	-13,154	-34,900
Other	0	553	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	4,219	4,120	7,919
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	27,121	40,472	-4,929	-47,472

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/LORING AFB, ME (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	8,888	15,043	118,276
Operation & Maintenance	7,558	3,943	28,330
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	5,638
Other	0	0	553
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	16,446	18,986	152,797
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	16,446	18,986	152,797
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:		,	
Military Construction	0	O	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,000
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	16,258
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	17,258
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	. 0	0
- Operations	6,328	6,542	24,902
Operation & Maintenance	33,138	34,373	122,302
Military Personnel	36,287	37,734	126,629
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(429)	(429)	(429)
Military ES	(1,019)	(1,019)	(1,019)
TOTAL SAVINGS	75,753	78,649	273,833
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-6,328	-6,542	-24,902
Environmental	8,888	15,043	118,276
Operation & Maintenance	-25,580	-30,430	-92,972
Military Personnel - PCS	-36,287	-37,734	-120,991
Other	0	0	553
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	16,258
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-59,307	-59,663	-103,778
EXHIBIT BC-0	2		37

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Loring Air Force Base, Maine

Closure Package: Loring AFB, Maine, closed in FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$3.9 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$15.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Lowry AFB, Colorado Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/LOWRY AFB, CO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	96,013	9,504	4,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	8,092	7,087	0	10,816
Operation & Maintenance	0	16,451	8,171	2,802
Military Personnel - PCS	0	258	4,365	0
Other	0	331	3,163	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	8,092	120,140	25,203	17,618
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	8,092	120,140	25,203	17,618
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	500
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	16	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	16	0	500
SAVINGS:	0	0	0	0
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2.056	3,060
- Operations	0	0	2,956 14,692	22,391
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	14,092	20,872
Military Personnel Other	0	0	12,410	20,672
Civilian ES	0	0	(378)	(378)
Military ES	0	0	(522)	(522)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	30,094	46,323
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	96,013	9,504	4,000
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	-2,956	-3,060
Environmental	8,092	7,087	0	10,816
Operation & Maintenance	0	16,451	-6,521	-19,089
Military Personnel - PCS	0	258	-8,081	-20,872
Other	0	331	3,163	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	16	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	8,092	120,156	-4,891	-28,205

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/LOWRY AFB, CO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	16,450	13,390	139,357
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	17,880	32,043	75,918
Operation & Maintenance	2,404	886	30,714
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	4,624
Other	0	0	3,494
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	36,734	46,319	254,107
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	36,734	46,319	254,107
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	500
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	16
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	516-
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	3,164	3,271	12,451
operation & Maintenance	23,233	24,118	84,434
Military Personnel - PCS	21,702	22,567	77,587
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(378)	(378)	(378)
Military ES	(522)	(522)	(522)
TOTAL SAVINGS	48,099	49,956	174,472
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	16,450	13,390	139,357
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	-3,164	-3,271	-12,451
Environmental	17,880	32,043	75,918
Operation & Maintenance	-20,829	-23,232	-53,220
Military Personnel - PCS	-21,702	-22,567	-72,963
Other	0	0	3,494
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	16
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-11,365	-3,637	80,151
EXHIBIT BC-0	2		4

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Lowry Air Force Base, Colorado

Closure Package: Lowry AFB, Colorado, closed in FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

State/Installation		Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1997			
Colorado/Buckley ANGB	Enlisted Dormitory*/CRWU953050	1997	8,150
Texas/Lackland AFB	ADAL Physical Fitness Center/MPLS913337	1997	1,600
Texas/Lackland AFB	Alter Tech Training Acad Fac/MPYJ953260	1997	2,250
Texas/Keesler AFB	Add to Physical Fitness Center/MAHG91303	4 1997	690
Texas/Sheppard AFB	Add to Chapel/VNVP933025	1997	700

Total FY 1997 13,390

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$.9 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$32.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Lowry AFB, Colorado Package

FY 1997 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated) 2. DATE 1 9 MAR						
5. PROGRAM ELE 2.71.34	MENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 721-312	CRWU953050	8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 8,200			

9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)	
DORMITORY (150 PN) DORMITORY SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS PAVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	SM LS LS LS	4,950	1,300	(\$000) 6,435 (6,435) 890 (565) (225) (100) 7,325 366 7,691 461 8,152 8,200	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Reinforced concrete foundation and floor slabs, masonry walls, structural frame and built-up roof. Includes room-bath-room modules, laundries, storage and lounge areas and supporting facilities.

Air Conditioning: 140 KW. Grade Mix: 150 E1-E4.

11. REQUIREMENT: 4,950 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 0

PROJECT: Construct unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing.

REQUIREMENT: A major Air Force objective provides unaccompanied enlisted personnel with housing conducive to their proper rest, relaxation and personal well-being. Properly designed and furnished quarters providing some degree of individual privacy are essential to the successful accomplishment of the increasingly complicated and important jobs these people must perform.

CURRENT SITUATION: This Air National Guard Base has no dormitories. Housing is currently located at Lowry AFB which is scheduled for closure in 1994. Personnel assigned to Buckley and housed at Lowry will have no place to go after 1994. With the increase in manpower from the new wing, the shortfall will be even greater. Local rentals are beyond the financial means of the enlisted personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Adequate living quarters will continue to be unavailable resulting in degradation of morale, productivity, and career satisfaction for unaccompanied enlisted personnel.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This facility meets the criteria and scope specified in the new uniform dormitory standard established by OSD. This is a companion to project CRWU961460, Troop Support Facilities (regular MILCON).

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	1 9 MAR 1996
ANG	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLATI	ION AND LOCATION	
DIICUI EV ANC I	BASE, COLORADO	
4. PROJECT T		5. PROJECT NUMBER
4. INOUDEL I.		3. TROUBET RUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	-ENLISTED DORMITORY	CRWU953050
12. SUPPLEME	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estimat	ced Design Data:	
(1)		
(-, -	catus:) Date Design Started	96 FEB 20
· 1	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	
	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	coscs i
	Date 35% Designed.	96 MAY 02
	Date Design Complete	96 JUL 30
(2) Ba		
(a)		
(6)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) To	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	
1 '	All Other Design Costs	910
(c)	Total	910
, ,	Contract	546
(e)	In-house	364
(4) Co	onstruction Start	97 MAR
1		

b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT	7317	1007 WITTE	. D.V. GG	NO MDII C	mrow pp	TROM D	1	2. DATE	*****
AIR FORCE	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)						ATA	19 848	:550
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND	LOCATION			4. PROJ	JECT TI	TLE		
					BASE CI	LOSURE-	ADD/ALTE	R	
LACKLAND AIR	FORCE E	BASE, TEXAS			PHYSICA	AL FITN	ESS CENT	ER	
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6	6. CATEGORY	CODE	7. PRO	JECT NUN	ABER 8	. PROJEC	T COST(\$	000)
	l								
8 57 96		740-674		мрт	5913337			1.600)

9. COST ESTIMATE	s			
ITEM	ש/ט	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER ADDN ALTER FITNESS CENTER SUPPORTING FACILITIES UTILITIES/COMM/EMCS PAVEMENTS SITE IMPROVEMENTS SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (10%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	LS SM SM LS LS	250 900	2,000 680	1,112 (500) (612) 265 (150) (75) (40) 1,377 138 1,515 91 1,606 1,600

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, structural steel frame, standing seam metal roof, durable interior finishes, and other necessary support for the addition. Alteration includes leveling the auditorium floor, drop ceiling, new womens locker room, and upgrade mechanical/electrical. Air Conditioning: 30 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 16,560 SM ADEQUATE: 2,510 SM SUBSTANDARD: 4,177 SM PROJECT: Add to and Alter Physical Fitness Facility.

REQUIREMENT: Closure of Lowry AFB. A facility of adequate size and configuration is required to provide physical fitness capabilities for the increased base population. Handball courts are essential for support.

CURRENT SITUATION: Existing facilities are not available at Lackland AFB to meet the physical fitness requirement created by the increased population. Existing gymnasiums are overcrowded and located over one mile from the dormitories and classrooms used by the new students. The existing facility to be altered was a Base Theater and is no longer in use.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Physical fitness, conditioning, and recreation programs of the student and permanent party personnel as well as dependents will continue to be limited. Restricted physical fitness programs will continue to have a negative impact on personnel morale and physical conditioning. Without adequate facilities, the realignment from and closure of Lowry AFB will be hindered.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

	T		
1. COMPONE	ENT	TV 1007 VII I T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	2. DATE
AIR FORCE		FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	PA 1 9 MAR 1996
	ATTO	(computer generated)	
LACKLAND A	IR E	FORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT	TIT	PLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSU	JRE-A	ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	MPLS913337
12. SUPPI	EMEN	WTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mate	ed Design Data:	
(1)	Sta	atus:	
	(a)	Date Design Started	95 JAN 01
	(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	costs Y
	(C)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	35%
	(d)	Date 35% Designed.	95 FEB 02
	(e)	Date Design Complete	96 JUN 30
(2)	Bas	sis:	
	(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
	(p)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3)	Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
` ,	(a)		21
		All Other Design Costs	13
	(c)	Total	34
	(d)	Contract	24
	(e)	In-house	10
(4)	Con	struction Start	96 OCT
o. Equipm	ent	associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appr			. —

			
1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CO	ONSTRUCTION PROJECT I	DATA 1 9 MAR 1996
AIR FORCE	(compute	er generated)	1 9 WW 1999
3. INSTALLATION	N AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT T	ITLE
		BASE CLOSURE-	-ALTER TECHNICAL
LACKLAND AIR FO	DRCE BASE, TEXAS	TRAINING ACAI	DEMIC FACILITIES
5. PROGRAM ELEM	MENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	B. PROJECT COST(\$000)
8.57.96	171-621	MPYJ953260	2,250

9. COST ESTIMAT	res			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING				
ACADEMIC FACILITIES	LS			1,309
ALTER TECH TRAINING CLASSROOM/SPT(147)	SM	650	880	(572)
ALTER TECH TRAINING LAB (150)	SM	350	1,100	(385)
ADD TECH TRAINING LAB (150)	SM	130	1,600	(208)
ADD TECH TRAINING CLASSROOM (150)	SM	120	1,200	(144)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				615
UTILITIES/FIRE PROTECTION	LS			(210)
PREWIRED WORKSTATIONS	LS			(205)
COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT/EMCS/ELEVATOR	LS	1		(200)
SUBTOTAL				1,924
CONTINGENCY (10%)			ĺ	192
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,116
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)		1		127
TOTAL REQUEST				2,243
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,250

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter buildings 147, and 150 and add to 150. Includes demolition, rearrangement and provision of mechanical and structural modifications, EMCS points, communication support, upgrade of fire protection systems, handicap access, prewired workstations, interior walls and all support as required.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 1,255 SM ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,010 SM PROJECT: Alteration of buildings 147, and 150 at Medina to provide facilities for incoming services training. Add to building 150. REQUIREMENT: Closure of Lowry AFB. Facilities of adequate size and configuration are required to provide academic and support space for services training.

CURRENT SITUATION: Upon the relocation of Officer Training School (OTS) to Maxwell AFB, several facilities have become available for other uses at Lackland AFB. This project will alter two of the facilities previously used by OTS to accommodate services training relocating from Lowry AFB. Although building 147 was previously used as a training facility, it cannot accommodate the services training mission in its present configuration. Also, space in the dining hall and gymnasium must be modified to provide training labs for food service and ergometry training. No other facilities exist which can provide this training.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Services training cannot be properly conducted at

Lackland AFB, thereby jeopardizing the realignment and closure of Lowry.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military

Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". This project will be conjunctively funded with a Navy BRAC project (\$3.2M) and an Air Force O&M

project.

1 may may may 1		0
1. COMPONENT	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	1 9 MAR 1998
	(Computer generated) N AND LOCATION	
	ORCE BASE, TEXAS	
4. PROJECT TIT	CLE 5. PRO	DJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-A	ALTER TECHNICAL TRAINING ACADEMIC FACILITIES MPY	/J953260
12. SUPPLEMEN	ITAL DATA:	
a. Estimate	ed Design Data:	
(1) Sta	atus:	
• •	Date Design Started	94 SEP 01
* *	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	N
(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	100%
(d)	Date 35% Designed.	95 JAN 30
(e)	Date Design Complete	95 AUG 30
(2) Bas	sis:	
• •	Standard or Definitive Design -	
(þ)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) Tot	cal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
(a)	——————————————————————————————————————	
	All Other Design Costs	225
• •	Total	225
	Contract	135 90
(e)	In-house	90
(4) Cor	nstruction Start	96 DEC
	associated with this project will be provided from	n
other appropri	iations: N/A	
•		

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE			
AIR FORCE	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE						
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO						
KEESLER AIR F	ORCE BASE, MISSISSIP	PHYSICAL FIT	TNESS CENTER			
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY COI	E 7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)			
		1				
8.57.96	740-674	MAHG913034	690			

9. COST ESTIMATE:	9. COST ESTIMATES					
ITEM	ש/ט	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)		
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER SUPPORTING FACILITIES SITE IMPROVEMENTS UTILITIES SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%) TOTAL CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%) TOTAL REQUEST TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	SM LS LS	221	2,420	535 80 (60) (20) 615 31 646 39 685 690		

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete foundation and floor slab, masonry walls, and storm-resisting roof system compatible with existing facility. Addition to existing building includes facilities for physical fitness and recreation.

Air Conditioning: 35 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,485 SF ADEQUATE: 2,264 SF SUBSTANDARD:

PROJECT: Construct an addition to the existing Base Gym.

REQUIREMENT: Closure of Lowry AFB. A facility of adequate size and configuration is required to provide physical fitness capabilities for the increased base population.

CURRENT SITUATION: Existing facilities are inadequately sized to meet the requirement created by the increased population.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Training cannot be accommodated thereby jeopardizing the realignment from and closure of Lowry AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

This project meets criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	TA 1 9 MAR 1996
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	1000
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION	
KEESLER AIR I	FORCE BASE, MISSISSIPPI	
4. PROJECT T	TLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
PASE CLOSUDE	ADD TO DUVELCAL ELTANGES CONTED	WN11001 202 4
BASE CLOSURE	-ADD TO PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	MAHG913034
12. SUPPLEME	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estimat	ed Design Data:	
(1) St	atus:	
(a)	Date Design Started	95 JUN 01
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs Y
	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	80%
	Date 35% Designed.	95 AUG 01
(e)	Date Design Complete	96 MAR 30
(2) Ba	asis:	
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -	NO
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	N/A
(3) To	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	14
(b)	All Other Design Costs	9
(c)	Total	23
(d)	Contract	16
(e)	In-house	7
(4) Co	onstruction Start	96 OCT
	associated with this project will be provide	ed from
Couler appropr	riations: N/A	
		**

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)	1 9 MAR 1996
2 TNGMATTAME	ON AND LOCATION	

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO CHAPEL

SHEPPARD AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

CENTER

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

8.57.96 730-772 VNVP933025 700

7. COOT BOTTLAND				
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO CHAPEL CENTER	SM	464	990	459
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			170
UTILITIES	LS			(30)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(20)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(40)
DEMOLITION WOOD FRAME BUILDING	SM	1,150	39	(45)
COMMUNICATIONS	LS			(15)
EMCS	LS		ĺ	(_20)
SUBTOTAL				629
CONTINGENCY (5%)]		_31
TOTAL CONTRACT COST			[660
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				40
TOTAL REQUEST				700
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				700
				ļ

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Concrete masonry walls with brick veneer, concrete floor slab, and roof to match existing. Includes classrooms, toilets, storage rooms, administrative and chaplain offices, religious education library, mechanical room, and other necessary support. Air Conditioning: 56 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,595 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 1,130 SF PROJECT: Construct an addition to the Chapel Center.

REQUIREMENT: Closure of Lowry AFB. A facility of adequate size and configuration is required to conduct religious education functions and accommodate chaplain offices. Space is required to teach young children (K-8th grades), senior youth (9th-12th grades), and adults.

CURRENT SITUATION: This facility is used regularly not only for religious education but also for special functions for young airmen. There are no adequate facilities on Sheppard designated for the religious education of these permanent party personnel.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The base will not be able to meet the needs of a multi-faceted chapel program. Personnel and their families will be forced to seek their religious needs off-base or not at all. This base has many young enlisted students that do not have a private vehicle in which to travel off-base.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. This project meets the criteria/scope specified in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

			Ta
1. COMPONENT	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	Tr N	2. DATE
AIR FORCE	1 3 111111		
	ON AND LOCATION		1
SHEPPARD AIR	FORCE BASE, TEXAS		
1. PROJECT TI	TLE	5. PR	OJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	ADD TO CHAPEL CENTER	VN	VP933025
12. SUPPLEME	NTAL DATA:		
a. Estimat	ed Design Data:		
u. Docimac	ca pesign baca.		
(1) St	atus:		
`´(a)			93 JUL 01
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs	Y
(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996		1009
(d)	Date 35% Designed.		93 OCT 16
(e)	Date Design Complete		94 AUG 18
(2) Ba	sis:		
(2) 3d			NO
, ,	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		N/A
(2) m-	4-2 G-4 (-) (-)		
	tal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications All Other Design Costs		40 25
	Total		65
	Contract		45
` ,	In-house		20
(-)			
(4) Co	nstruction Start		97 JAN
o. Equipment	associated with this project will be provide	ed fro	m
other appropr		eu IIO	ш
appropr			

MacDill AFB, Florida Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/MACDILL AFB, FL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	2,499	0	3,239
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	13,202	138	0	3,090
Operation & Maintenance	0	209	1,950	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	93	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	13,202	2,845	2,043	6,329
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	13,202	2,845	2,043	6,329
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	57	35	2,563
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	57	35	2,563
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	3,628	5,160
Military Personnel	0	0	13,100	16,765
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	(76)	(76)
Military ES	0	0	(424)	(424)
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	16,728	21,925
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		<u>.</u>	_	
Military Construction	0	2,499	0	3,239
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	13,202	138	0	3,090
Operation & Maintenance	0	209	-1,678	-5,160
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	-13,100	-16,765
Other	0	0	93	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	57	35	2,563
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	13,202	2,902	-14,650	-13,033

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/MACDILL AFB, FL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	5,738
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	16,430
Operation & Maintenance	735	0	2,894
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	93
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	735	0	25,155
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	735	0	25,155
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2,655
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2,655
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	5,351	5,551	19,690
Military Personnel - PCS	17,432	18,127	65,424
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(76)	(76)	(76)
Military ES	(424)	(424)	(424)
TOTAL SAVINGS	22,783	23,678	85,114
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	5,738
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	16,430
Operation & Maintenance	-4,616	-5,551	-16,796
Military Personnel - PCS	-17,432	-18,127	-65,424
Other	0	0	93
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2,655
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-22,048	-23,678	-57,304
EXHIBIT BC-0	2		53

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/MacDill Air Force Base, Florida

Closure Package: MacDill AFB, Florida, realigned force structure and partially closed by mid-FY 1994. All F-16 aircraft realigned to Luke AFB, Arizona. The 1993 Commission recommended that the Joint Communications Support Element (JCSE) remain at MacDill as long as the airfield is non-DOD operated. Operation of the airfield at MacDill will be taken over by the Department of Commerce or another Federal agency.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: N/A.

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: N/A

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/MYRTLE BEACH AFB, SC (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	8,609	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	14,499	40	0	3,120
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,125	2,253	242
Military Personnel - PCS	0	988	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	14,499	14,762	2,253	3,362
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	14,499	14,762	2,253	3,362
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,914	1,040	115
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	7,914	1,040	115
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	2,196	2,274	2,354
Operation & Maintenance	0	2,017	8,159	8,496
Military Personnel	0	18,055	32,075	33,374
Other	0	(210)	(210)	0 (219)
Civilian ES	0	(219)	(219)	(932)
Military ES	0	(932)	(732)	, ,
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	22,268	42,508	44,224
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	0 600	0	0
Military Construction	0	8,609		0
Family Housing - Construction	0	2 106	0 2 274	-2,354
- Operations Environmental	0 14,499	-2,196 40	-2,274 0	3,120
	•	3,108	-5,906	-8,254
Operation & Maintenance	0	-17,067	-32,075	-33,374
Military Personnel - PCS Other	0	-17,007	-32,073	-55,574
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,914	1,040	115
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	7,914	1,040	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,499	408	-39,215	-40,747

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/MYRTLE BEACH AFB, SC (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

· ·	·		TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	4,450	0	13,059
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	7,530	3,595	28,784
Operations & Maintenance	1,247	372	9,239
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	988
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	13,227	3,967	52,070
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	13,227	3,967	52,070
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	9,069
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	9,069
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	2,434	2,516	11,774
Operations & Maintenance	8,828	9,178	36,678
Military Personnel - PCS	34,701	36,084	154,289
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(219)	(219)	(219)
Military ES	(932)	(932)	(932)
TOTAL SAVINGS	45,963	47,778	202,741
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			12.050
Military Construction	4,450	0	13,059
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	11.774
- Operations	-2,434	-2,516	-11,774
Environmental	7,530	3,595	28,784
Operations & Maintenance	-7,581	-8,806	-27,439
Military Personnel - PCS	-34,701	-36,084	-153,301
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	9,069
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-32,736	-43,811	-141,602

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, South Carolina

Closure Package: Myrtle Beach AFB, South Carolina, closed in FY 1993.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.4 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$3.6 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Richards-Gebaur AFB, Missouri Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/RICHARDS-GEBAUR ARS, MO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	33,705	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,145	911	753	1,000
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,906	6,993	425
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,145	36,522	7,746	1,425
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,145	36,522	7,746	1,425
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	250
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	1	2
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	1	252
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,433	4,799
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	(108)	(108)
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	1,433	4,799
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				_
Military Construction	0	33,705	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	2,145	911	753	1,000
Operation & Maintenance	0	1,906	5,560	-4,124
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	1	2
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2,145	36,522	6,314	-3,122

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF RICHARDS-GEBAUR ARS, MO (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

·			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	1,570	0	35,275
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	805	889	6,503
Operations & Maintenance	136	230	9,690
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,511	1,119	51,468
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,511	1,119	51,468
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	0	0	250
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	3
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	253
SAVINGS:		_	
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operations & Maintenance	4,990	5,192	16,414
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(108)	(108)	(108)
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	4,990	5,192	16,414
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS: Military Construction	1,570	0	35,275
Family Housing - Construction	1,570	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	805	889	6,503
Operation & Maintenance	-4,854	-4,962	-6,474
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0,77
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	3
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
• •			

EXHIBIT BC-02

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Richards-Gebaur Air Force Base, Missouri

<u>Closure Package</u>: Richards-Gebaur Air Reserve Station (ARS), Missouri, closed at the end of the fourth quarter of FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.2 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0.9 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/RICKENBACKER AGB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	4,848	29,619	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	8,396	3,325	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	15,397	16,534	670
Military Personnel - PCS	0	28	0	0
Other	0	127	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	13,244	48,496	16,534	670
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	13,244	48,496	16,534	670
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	616
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	6	0	616
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	1,251	1,301
Military Personnel	0	0	227	236
Other	0	0	(225)	(225)
Civilian ES	0	0	(225)	(225)
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	1,478	1,537
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS: Military Construction	1 010	29,619	0	0
•	4,848 0	29,019	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations Environmental	8,396	3,325	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0,390	15,397	15,283	-15
Military Personnel - PCS	0	28	-227	-236
Other	0	127	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	6	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
		_		
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	13,244	48,502	15,056	-251

EXHIBIT BC-02

61

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/RICKENBACKER AGB, OH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	12,350	14,150	60,967
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	1,363	6,558	19,642
Operation & Maintenance	1,069	630	34,300
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	28
Other	0	0	127
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	14,782	21,338	115,064
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	14,782	21,338	115,064
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	616
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	6
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	622
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	1,351	1,403	5,306
Military Personnel - PCS	246	256	965
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(225)	(225)	(225)
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	1,597	1,659	6,271
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	12,350	14,150	60,967
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	1,363	6,558	19,642
Operation & Maintenance	-282	-773	29,610
Military Personnel - PCS	-246	-256	-937
Other	0	0	127
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	6
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	13,185	19,679	109,415
EXHIBIT BC-02	2		62

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base, Ohio

Closure Package: Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base (ANGB), Ohio, closed at the end of the fourth quarter of FY 1994. The 1993 Commission directed that the 121st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) and the 160th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) move into a cantonment area on the present Rickenbacker ANGB, and operate as a tenant of the Rickenbacker Port Authority (RPA) on RPA's airport. The 907th Airlift Group (AFRES) will realign to Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio as originally recommended. The 4950 Test Wing relocated from Wright-Patterson AFB to Edwards AFB, California.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

State/Installation	Project Title/#	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1997			
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Base Maint Shop*/NLZG939686	1997	1,950
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Support Shops*/NLZG939687	1997	2,000
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Alter Fuel Maint Dock*/NLZG939700	1997	1,200
Ohio/Rickenbacker ANGB	Jet Fuel Storage/Distrib/NLZG939729	1997	9,000
Total FY 1997			14,150

^{*}Previously shown as FY 1996 Project

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$.6 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$6.6 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only and will be realized in other Air Force accounts.

Rickenbacker AGB, Ohio Package

FY 1997 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT	2. DATE				
FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	PROJECT DATA				
ANG (computer generated	1) 9 MAR 1998				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE					
BASE	CLOSURE-ALTER BASE				
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO MAIN	ITENANCE SHOPS				
E TORREST DE DIVINITAL CONTRACTOR DE CONTRAC					

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000) 5.52.96F 219-944 NLZG939686 1,950

9. COST ESTIMA	res			
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	UNIT	COST (\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALT BLDGS 885/888 FOR MAINT				
SHOPS				1,743
LOGISTICS GROUP HEADQUARTERS	SM	350	560	(196)
AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT (AGE)	SM	650	380	(247)
ENGINE SHOP	SM	550	360	(198)
SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT	SM	750	560	(420)
READINESS SPARES PACKAGE (RSP)	SM	950	320	(304)
NDI LAB	SM	220	560	(123)
FIREWALL	LS			(<u>255</u>)
SUBTOTAL				1,743
CONTINGENCY (5%)				87
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	- 1			1,830
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				110
TOTAL REQUEST				1,940
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,950
				İ

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior demolition and modifications including masonry and partition walls, lighting, utilities and necessary support. Ventilation systems for vehicle maintenance. Air Conditioning: 53 KW.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 3,520 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 3,520 SF

 PROJECT: Alter Building 888 and 885 to accommodate BCE maintenance shops, organizational maintenance, vehicle maintenance, refueler maintenance and disaster preparedness.

REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space is required within the new cantonment area to house maintenance and support functions.

CURRENT SITUATION: Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing maintenance facilities are located in areas whose total square footage exceed the authorized amounts, which will result in excessive operation and maintenance costs. Buildings 888/885 can be altered to accommodate the composite maintenance and support functions, and allow disposal of excess space.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of adequate and efficient facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1. COMPONEN	- 	2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	1 9 MAR 1996
ANG	(computer generated)	1 2 1/1/1/ 1000
3. INSTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	
RICKENBACKE	R AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO	
4. PROJECT	TITLE 5.	. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSUF	E-ALTER BASE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	NLZG939686
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	
a. Estim	ated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
``	a) Date Design Started	94 OCT 01
	o) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop cos	
•	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	100%
`	d) Date 35% Designed.	95 SEP 01
(e) Date Design Complete	95 DEC 15
(2)	Basis:	
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
(o) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	a) Production of Plans and Specifications	, ,
(o) All Other Design Costs	195
(c) Total	195
(d) Contract	117
(e) In-house	78
(4)	Construction Start	96 DEC
	nt associated with this project will be provided priations: N/A	from
other appro	priations: N/A	

Page No

1. COMPONENT							2. DATE
ANG	FY		ARY CON		TION PROJECT	DATA	1 9 MAR 1390
	3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE - ALTER SUPPORT RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO SHOPS					SUPPORT	
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	6. CATEGORY	CODE 7	PROJ	JECT NUMBER	8. PROJE	CT COST(\$000)
5.52.96F		211-152		NLZC	939687		2,000

COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIMATE	25			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSUREALT BLDG 875 FOR SUPPORT	SM	3,716		1,778
CIVIL ENGINEERING	SM	1,450	480	(696)
CIVIL ENGINEERING STORAGE SHED	SM	375	360	(135)
COMMUNICATIONS/AUDIO VISUAL	SM	950	520	(494)
SECURITY POLICE	SM	850	480	(408)
FITNESS CENTER	SM	93	480	(45)
SUBTOTAL	1			1,778
CONTINGENCY (5%)	ĺ			89
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	ĺ			1,867
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				112
TOTAL REQUEST				1,979
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,000
·	ļ			
			j	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Interior demolition and modifications including masonry and partition walls, lighting, utilities and necessary support.

Air Conditioning: 40 KW.

11. REQUIREMENT: 3,716 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 3,716 SF PROJECT: Alter Building 875 to accommodate support functions.

REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space is required within the new cantonment area to house maintenance and support shop functions.

CURRENT SITUATION: Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing shop facilities are located in areas whose square footage exceeds the authorized amount. Building 875 can be altered to accommodate the maintenance shop functions and allow disposal of excess space.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of adequate and efficient facilities will impede mission accomplishment. Unit training and operational readiness will be degraded.

ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1. COMPONEN	p l	2. DATE
1. COMPONEN	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAY	TD
ANG	(computer generated)	1 9 MAR 1996
3. INSTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	
DICKENED OVE	D ATD NAME CHARD DACE OUTO	
4. PROJECT	R AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSUR	E - ALTER SUPPORT SHOPS	NLZG939687
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:	•
a. Estim	ated Design Data:	
\ - /	Status:	
	a) Date Design Started	94 APR 01
	p) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	
•	c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	38%
•	d) Date 35% Designed.	94 JUL 01
(•	e) Date Design Complete	96 APR 01
(2)	Basis:	
	a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
(1	o) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
(1	o) All Other Design Costs	200
(c) Total	200
•	d) Contract	120
(•	e) In-house	80
(4)	Construction Start	96 DEC
b. Equipme	nt associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other appro	priations: N/A	

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE	
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUC	TION PROJECT DATA	2 000 1008	
ANG	(computer gene	rated)	s o sana 1939	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE				
		BASE CLOSURE-ALTER I	FUEL	
RICKENBACKER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK				
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PRO	JECT NUMBER 8. PROJE	ECT COST(\$000)	

	9. COST ESTIMATE	S			ŀ	
				UNIT	COST	•
	ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
_	BASE CLOSURE-ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS					
	MAINTENANCE DOCK	LS			1,060	
	REPAIR OFFICE/RESTROOMS	LS			(86)	
	REPAIR ROOF	LS			(38)	
	REPAIR HANGAR DOOR	LS			(165)	
	REPAIR PAVEMENTS	LS			(58)	
	ALTER MECHANICAL SYSTEM	LS			(530)	
	REPAIR ELECTRICAL SYSTEM	LS			(58)	
	ALTER PLUMBING AND FIRE PROTECTION	LS			(<u>125</u>)	
	SUBTOTAL				1,060	
	CONTINGENCY (5%)				53	
	TOTAL CONTRACT COST				1,113	
	SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				67	
	TOTAL REQUEST				1,180	
	TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				1,200	
		1			l İ	

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade Building 597 to include a complete fire detection and suppression system with Aqueous Film Forming Foam (AFFF), built-up roof, hangar door replacement, and concrete access taxiway.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 2,600 SF ADEQUATE: 0 SUBSTANDARD: 2,600 SF PROJECT: Upgrade Building 597 to accommodate aircraft fuel system maintenance.

<u>REQUIREMENT</u>: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Facility space with a new fire suppression system is required within the new cantonment area to support aircraft fuel systems maintenance.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: Due to the base realignment, excess facilities will be disposed of, causing consolidation of ANG operations and training within a new cantonment area. The existing fuel systems facility has no fire protection system, has an inadequate door operation system, and is in general need of overall repairs and improvements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Lack of safe, adequate and efficient facilities
will impede mission accomplishment. Unit training and operational
readiness will be degraded.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

5.52.96F

1,200

	CNT	2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	1 9 MAR 1996
NG	(computer generated) ATION AND LOCATION	1 3 mm 1000
. INSTALL	ATION AND LOCATION	
CKENBACK	KER AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO	
. PROJECT	TITLE 5. F	PROJECT NUMBER
ASE CLOSU	URE-ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE DOCK	NLZG939700
2. SUPPL	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
(1)	Status:	
` ,	(a) Date Design Started	94 APR 01
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop costs	s 1
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	109
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	96 JUN 15
	(e) Date Design Complete	96 OCT 10
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	120
	(c) Total	120
	(d) Contract	72
	(e) In-house	48
	Construction Start	97 MAI
(4)		
	ment associated with this project will be provided fr	com
. Equipm	ment associated with this project will be provided fropriations: N/A	com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com
. Equipm		com

1. COMPONENT							2. DATE
ANG	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA (computer generated)			1 9 MAR 1990			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION 4. PROJECT TITLE BASE CLOSURE-JET FUEL STORAGE							
RICKENBACKER	AIR NA	r'L GUARD BA	ASE, OHI	P P	STRIBUTIO		L STORAGE/
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT	. CATEGORY	CODE 7.	PROJEC	T NUMBER	8. PROJE	CT COST(\$000)
5.52.96F		124-135		NLZG93	9729		9,000

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-JET FUEL STORAGE/	1			
DISTRIBUTION COMPLEX	LS			7,180
UPGRADE TWO EACH 25000 BL STG TANKS	LS			(1,363)
OPERATING STORAGE TANK	BL	2,500	130	(325)
FUEL TRANSFER LINE	LM	1,100	880	(968)
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON/PADS	SM	9,200	110	(1,012)
HYDRANT SYSTEM	LS			(3,290)
POL OPERATIONS FACILITY	SM	155	1,430	(222)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				940
PAVEMENTS/UTILITIES/DEMOLITION	LS			(<u>940</u>)
SUBTOTAL			:	8,120
CONTINGENCY (5%)				406
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				8,526
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	Ì			512
TOTAL REQUEST				9,038
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				9,000
	- 1			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade two 25,000 barrel above ground steel storage tanks. Construct operating storage tank and transfer line, piping and valves, pumphouse, control house, fuel stands, hydrant system, and concrete refueler vehicle parking. Repairs to aircraft parking apron. Environmental controls and utilities. Air Conditioning: 18 KW.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

 $\underline{\mathtt{PROJECT}}\colon$ Upgrade existing Jet Fuel Storage Complex. Repair aircraft parking apron.

REQUIREMENT: The Base Realignment and Closure Commission has directed that Rickenbacker ANGB remain open in a new cantonment area. Upgrade of two 25,000 barrel jet fuel storage tanks is required to meet operational and environmental requirements. A complete jet fuel operating system including an operating tank, transfer line, hydrant system, a functional fuels operations and lab facility, and parking apron to support 20 KC-135 aircraft is required.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing POL storage area is antiquated and does not comply with environmental standards. Leakage, with contamination of the groundwater aquifer is very likely.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Environmentally unsafe storage for the proposed tanker unit. Possible contamination of the aquifer.

ADDITIONAL: Funding will be provided by the Base Closure Account. This project is not included in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide."

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	1 9 MAR 1996
ANG	(computer generated) ON AND LOCATION	
3. INSTALLAT	TON AND LOCATION	
·	AIR NAT'L GUARD BASE, OHIO	
4. PROJECT T	ITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	-JET FUEL STORAGE/ DISTRIBUTION COMPLEX	NLZG939729
Bill Garage	OBT TODE DIGITION TO THE DESIGNATION OF THE DESIGNA	
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
a Batimat	and Donier Data.	
a. Estimat	ced Design Data:	
(1) St	catus:	
(a)	Date Design Started	94 OCT 01
, ,	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	
, ,	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	5%
, ,	Date 35% Designed.	96 AUG 01
(e)	Date Design Complete	96 OCT 01
(2) Ba	asis:	
	Standard or Definitive Design -	
	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) To	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000)
	Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000)
	All Other Design Costs	900
	Total	900
) Contract	540
, ,) In-house	360
(A) C	onstruction Start	97 MAY
(4) 0	Sistinction Start	<i>37</i> 1111
b. Equipment	t associated with this project will be provide	ed from
	riations: N/A	

Williams AFB, Arizona Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/WILLIAMS AFB, AZ (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	1,200	0	. 0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	15,608	9,657	2,274	1,000
Operation & Maintenance	100	7,845	3,760	448
Military Personnel - PCS	0	42	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	16,908	17,544	6,034	1,448
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	16,908	17,544	6,034	1,448
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	. 0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	2	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	2	0	0
SAVINGS:		_		
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	3,623	3,752	3,884
Operation & Maintenance	0	3,581	16,560	17,224
Military Personnel	0	20,257	41,475 0	43,155 0
Other Civilian ES	0	(316)	(316)	(316)
Civilian ES	0	(935)	(935)	(935)
Military ES		, ,		
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	27,461	61,787	64,263
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	1 200	0	0	0
Military Construction	1,200	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	2.752	2 994
- Operations	15.600	-3,623	-3,752	-3,884
Environmental	15,608	9,657	2,274	1,000
Operation & Maintenance	100	4,264	-12,800	-16,776 -43,155
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-20,215	-41,475 0	-43,133
Other Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0 2	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program Payanuss from Land Sales (1)	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)				
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	16,908	-9,915	-55,753	-62,815

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/WILLIAMS AFB, AZ (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	1,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,100	2,038	32,677
Operation & Maintenance	692	108	12,953
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	42
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,792	2,146	46,871
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,792	2,146	46,871
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	3
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	4,016	4,151	19,426
Operation & Maintenance	17,877	18,564	73,806
Military Personnel - PCS	44,871	46,660	196,418
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	(316)	(316)	(316)
Military ES	(935)	(935)	(935)
TOTAL SAVINGS	66,764	69,375	289,650
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	1,200
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	(4,016)	-4,151	-19,426
Environmental	2,100	2,038	32,677
Operation & Maintenance	-17,185	-18,456	-60,853
Military Personnel - PCS	-44,871	-46,660	-196,376
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	2
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-63,972	-67,229	-242,777
EXHIBIT BC-02	2		73

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Williams Air Force Base, Arizona

<u>Closure Package</u>: Williams AFB, Arizona, closed in FY 1993. The 82 Flying Training Wing was inactivated, and all aircraft were retired or redistributed.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.1 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$2.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/WURTSMITH AFB, MI (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	9,687	8,891	1,217	4,000
Operation & Maintenance	0	6,770	5,044	4,512
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	9,687	15,661	6,261	8,512
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	9,687	15,661	6,261	8,512
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	. 0	0	0	893
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,447	1,484	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	7,447	1,484	893
SAVINGS:				•
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	6,039	6,254	6,474
Operation & Maintenance	0	10,340	22,325	23,193
Military Personnel	0	20,850	43,316	45,070
Other	0	(217)	(217)	(217)
Civilian ES	0	(317)	(317)	(317) (1,117)
Military ES	0	(1,117)	(1,117)	
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	37,229	71,895	74,737
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				0
Military Construction	. 0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	-6,039	-6,254	-6,474
Environmental	9,687	8,891	1,217	4,000
Operation & Maintenance	0	-3,570	-17,281	-17,788 45,070
Military Personnel - PCS	0	-20,850	-43,316	-45,070
Other	0	7 447	1 404	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	7,447	1,484	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	9,687	-14,121	-64,150	-65,332

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/WURTSMITH AFB, MI (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	3,389	10,008	37,192
Operation & Maintenance	427	1,146	17,899
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	3,816	11,154	55,091
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	3,816	11,154	55,091
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	893
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	8,931
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	9,824
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	6,694	6,919	32,380
Operation & Maintenance	24,050	24,948	104,856
Military Personnel - PCS	46,862	48,730	204,828
Other	0	(217)	0
Civilian ES	(317)	(317)	(317)
Military ES	(1,117)	(1,117)	(1,117)
TOTAL SAVINGS	77,606	80,597	342,064
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	0	0	0
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0 -6,694	-6,919	-32,380
- Operations Environmental	3,389	10,008	37,192
	·	-23,802	-86,064
Operation & Maintenance Military Percental PCS	-23,623	-25,802 -48,730	-204,828
Military Personnel - PCS	-46,862	-48,730 0	-204,828 0
Other Homogypers Assistance Program	0	0	8,931
Homeowners Assistance Program Poverness from Land Sales ()	0	0	0,73
Revenues from Land Sales (-)			077.146
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-73,790	-69,443	-277,149
EXHIBIT BC-0	2		76

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Michigan

Closure Package: Wurtsmith AFB, Michigan closed in FY 1993.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$1.1 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$10.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Chanute AFB, Illinois Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/CHANUTE AFB, IL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	36,771	11,254	4,363
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	(
- Operations	0	0	0	(
Environmental	0	0	0	(
Operation & Maintenance	0	4,119	. 0	(
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,278	0	(
Other	0	0	0	(
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	(
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	42,168	11,254	4,363
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	(
BUDGET REQUEST	0	42,168	11,254	4,363
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	(
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	C
Environmental	0	0	0	(
Operation & Maintenance	. 0	0	0	(
Other	0	0	0	(
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	C
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	C
SAVINGS:	•	0	0	,
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	(
- Operations Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	(
Military Personnel	0	0	0	C
Other	0	0	0	C
Civilian ES	0	0	0	C
Military ES	0	0	0	C
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	C
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	36,771	11,254	4,363
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	C
- Operations	0	0	0	C
Environmental	0	0	0	C
Operation & Maintenance	0	4,119	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	1,278	0	C
Other		0	0	C
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	C
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	(
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	42,168	11,254	4,363
		,	, ·	,-

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/CHANUTE AFB, IL (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

·	•		TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	2,900	55,288
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	400	216	616
Operation & Maintenance	226	325	4,670
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1,278
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	626	3,441	61,852
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	626	3,441	61,852
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	. 0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		0.000	ee 000
Military Construction	0	2,900	55,288
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	400	216	616 4,670
Operation & Maintenance	226	325	
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	1,278
Other Homogymary Assistance Program	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program Powerway from Land Sales ()	0	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	•	•	21.022
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	626	3,441	61,852
EXHIBIT BC-0	02		79

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT 1991 COMMISSION PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Chanute Air Force Base, Illinois

<u>Closure Package</u>: Chanute AFB closed in FY 1993. Changes to the 1988 Base Closure Commission recommendations included relocating several courses originally scheduled to relocate to Lowry AFB, Colorado. The 1993 Base Closure Commission also recommended consolidating the Air Force's 16 Metals Technology, Non-Destructive Inspection, and Aircraft Structural Maintenance training courses with the Navy at Naval Air Station (NAS) Memphis, Tennessee, and then move them with the Navy to NAS Pensacola, Florida.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military	Construction:
Millitary	Construction:

State/Installation Project Title/# Fiscal Year of Award (\$000)

FY 1997

California/Vandenberg AFB Site Utilities/XUMU963007 1997 2,900

Total FY 1997 2,900

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.3 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$0.2 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Chanute AFB, Illinois Package

FY 1997 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPO	ONENT				2. DATE	
	FY	1997 MILITARY	CONSTRUCTI	ON PROJECT DATA	1 9 MAR 198	ΰ
AIR FOR	CE	(comp	uter genera	ted)		
3. INSTA	ALLATION AND	LOCATION	4	. PROJECT TITLE		

3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

BASE CLOSURE- AETC SITE

VANDENBERG AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA UTILITIES

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

8.57.96 812-225 XUMU963007 2,900

9. COST ESTIMATES

9. COST ESTIMA	res .				
			UNIT	COST	
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)	
BASE CLOSURE- AETC SITE UTILITIES	LS			2,251	
10" SEWER MAINS	LF	2,650	56	(148)
8" SEWER MAINS	LF	7,500	44	(330)
6" WATER MAINS	LF	16,500	34	(561)
HYDRANTS/TRANSFORMERS/MANHOLES	LS			(260)
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION	LF	12,500	44	(550)
COMMUNICATION DUCTS	LF	6,000	56	(336)
NATURAL GAS	LF	6,000	11	(66)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				350	
SITE PREPARATION	LS			(350)
SUBTOTAL				2,601	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				130	
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				2,731	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				164	
TOTAL REQUEST				2,895	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				2,900	-
	1	1			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Place all overhead electrical and telephone lines underground. Replace existing sewer/water/natural gas distribution mains.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Replace all utilities serving the Hq AETC construction site.

REQUIREMENT: The redirected closure of Chanute AFB requires a reliable utility system to properly accommodate the undergraduate space training mission at Vandenberg AFB. The campus occupies a rectangular shaped area of approximately 83.5 acres. All the AETC training facilities, including Dining /classrooms/dormitories are located in this area.

CURRENT SITUATION: The reliability of the existing utility systems is poor. The sewer, water supply, and natural gas lines that traverse the construction site are over 20 years old. Utility distribution lines were originally laid out to serve over 32 seperate WW II buildings. Their recent demolation left numerous dead ends and stubs abondoned in place. Sewer mains have blockage due to root intrusions; water mains experience frequent breaks due to age and heavy useage. The existing power distribution and telephone lines were initially installed above ground on wooden poles. Many of the overhead line segments now show signs of corrosion because of exposure to "salt fog" which is prevalent in the Vandenberg AFB area.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Utility failures would disrupt classroom
activities amd impact training schedules. Also, utility maintenance costs
will increase and system reliability will degenerate further.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account. There is no criteria/scope for this project in Part II of Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra A	1 9 MAR 1996
AIR FORCE	(computer generated)		1 a hivii 1000
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION		
	R FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		
4. PROJECT TI	TLE	5. PRO	DJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	- AETC SITE UTILITIES	XUM	10963007
12. SUPPLEME	CNTAL DATA:		
- Batimat	and Domina Data.		
a. Estimat	ed Design Data:		
(1) St	2+115.		
, , ,	Date Design Started		95 FEB 01
, ,	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	costs	N N
1 , ,	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996		50%
1	Date 35% Designed.		95 SEP 30
1	Date Design Complete		96 APR 30
(-)	- Caro Caraga		
(2) Bá	asis:		
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		
(b)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) To	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		
(b)	All Other Design Costs		290
(c)	Total		290
, ,	Contract		174
(e)	In-house		116
(4) Co	onstruction Start		97 MAR

b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A

George AFB, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/GEORGE AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	50,839	1,147	600
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	149	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	51	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	51,039	1,147	600
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	51,039	1,147	600
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	50,839	1,147	600
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	149	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	51	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	51,039	1,147	600

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/GEORGE AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	52,586
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	1,760	1,729	3,489
Operation & Maintenance	57	64	270
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	51
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	1,817	1,793	56,396
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	1,817	1,793	56,396
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	U
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:		0	50 596
Military Construction	0	0	52,586
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	1.720	0 3,489
Environmental	1,760	1,729	270
Operation & Maintenance	57	64	51
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program Payarage from Land Sales ()	0	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)		_	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	1,817	1,793	56,396
EXHIBIT BC-()2		8

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/George Air Force Base, California

<u>Closure Package</u>: George AFB closed 15 December 1992. Changes to the 1988 Commission recommendations included the following: The F-4G aircraft retire or realign to the Idaho Air National Guard. The 35 Tactical Training Wing inactivated. The 41 Electronic Combat Squadron remained at Davis-Monthan AFB, Arizona. The EF-111 aircraft at Mountain Home AFB, Idaho, realigned to Cannon AFB, New Mexico. Establish a composite wing at Mountain Home AFB.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.06 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental Compliance and Restoration: \$1.7 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Mather AFB, California Package

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/MATHER AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	39,466	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,000	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	11	0	0
Other	0	663	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	45,139	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	45,139	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:	,			
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	39,466	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	5,000	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	11	0	0
Other	0	663	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	45,139	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/MATHER AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	6,450	2,180	48,096
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,878	28,770	31,648
Operation & Maintenance	4,672	184	9,856
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	11
Other	0	0	663
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	14,000	31,134	90,273
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	14,000	31,134	90,273
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			10.004
Military Construction	6,450	2,180	48,096
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	21.649
Environmental	2,878	28,770	31,648
Operation & Maintenance	4,672	184	9,856
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	11
Other	0	0	663
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	14,000	31,134	90,273
EXHIBIT BC-0)2		8

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Mather Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: Mather AFB, California closed in FY 1993. Changes by the 1991 Base Closure Commission to the 1988 Base Closure Commission recommendations included relocating the 940th Air Refueling Group to McClellan AFB, California, leaving the 323rd Flying Training Wing Hospital open as an annex to McClellan AFB, California, and relocating the Undergraduate Navigator Training mission to Randolph AFB, Texas. The 1993 Base Closure Commission then changed the 1991 Commission's directed beddown of the 940th Air Refueling Group to Beale AFB, California vice McClellan AFB, California. Due to the closure of Mather AFB, the 940th Air Refueling Group was directed to temporarily relocate to McClellan AFB, awaiting permanent beddown at Beale AFB. Other costs and savings associated with the closure of Mather AFB are contained in the Base Closure Account (Part I) Justification Book.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction:

State/Installation	Project Title/#	Fiscal Year of Award	Amount (\$000)
FY 1997			
California/Beale AFB	ADAL Civil Engineering Fac/BAEY950204R1	1997	900
California/Beale AFB	ADAL Operationions Training/BAEY950200R1	1997	460
California/Beale AFB	Alter Logistics Fac/BAEY950201R1	1997	520
Claifornia/Beale AFB	ADAL Support Fac/BAEY939108	1997	300
Total FY 1997			2,180

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.2 Million

Other Procurement: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$28.8 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in Exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

EXHIBIT BC-03

Mather AFB, California Package

FY 1997 Forms 1391 (Military Construction Project Data)

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCT	ION PROJECT DATA	1 9 MAR 1996
AFR	(computer genera	ated)	1 J MAN 1550
3. INSTALLATI	ON AND LOCATION 4	A. PROJECT TITLE	
	I	BASE CLOSURE-ADD/AL	TER CIVIL
BEALE AIR FOR	RCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	ENGINEERING FACILIT	IES
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJE	CT NUMBER 8. PROJ	ECT COST(\$000)

BAEY950204R1

171-445

9. COST ESTIMAT	ES			
			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD/ALTER CIVIL				
ENGINEERING FACILITIES	LS			638
ALTER CIVIL ENGINEERING SPACE	SF	5,200	55	(286)
ALTER DISASTER PREPAREDNESS	SF	1,500	41	(62)
CONSTRUCT STORAGE SPACE	SF	5,000	58	(290)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	1			130
UTILITIES	LS			(90)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(25)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(<u>15</u>)
SUBTOTAL				768
CONTINGENCY (10%)				<u>77</u>
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				845
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)	İ			<u>51</u>
TOTAL REQUEST				896
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				900
1				1

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Building 2565 will be altered to provide space for a Civil Engineering Squadron and Disaster Preparednessunit. Storage facility to be concrete foundation/flooring, pre-engineered metal storage facility with steel frame, insulation, and heating. All necessary support and utilities.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

5.53.96F

PROJECT: Renovate facility 2565 for Civil Engineering/Disaster Prepardiness and construct a storage facility.

REQUIREMENT: The relocation of the 940th Air Refueling Wing from McClellan AFB to Beale AFB under BRAC 91 includes the civil engineering squadron.

CURRENT SITUATION: Building 2565 is an old tank repair facility which is structurally sound but has utilities that are so old that the do not meet current codes. No other buildings are available for this unit. Adequate facilities are not available for mobility training assets.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: Assets must be readily accessible to the unit during weekend training assemblies to prevent wasting limited training time. Without this project, the unit will not be capable of sustaining its mission requirements at Beale AFB.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

900

1. COMPONEN		i	2. DATE	
AFR	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT (computer generated)	'A	1 9 MAR 1	390
3. INSTALLA	TION AND LOCATION	•		
BEALE AIR F	DRCE BASE, CALIFORNIA			
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PRO	JECT NUMB	ER
BASE CLOSUR	E-ADD/ALTER CIVIL ENGINEERING FACILITIES	BAE	Y950204R1	<u>. </u>
12. SUPPLE	MENTAL DATA:			
a. Estim	ated Design Data:			
(1)	Status:			
•	a) Date Design Started		95 NOV	10
· ·	Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop c	osts		N
•	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996		96 MAY	28
•	d) Date 35% Designed. e) Date Design Complete		96 MAY 96 SEP	
(e) Date Design Complete		90 BEF	50
(2)	Basis:			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design -			
(o) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -			
(3)	Total Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$C	000
	a) Production of Plans and Specifications		•	
() All Other Design Costs			90
•	c) Total			90
•	d) Contract			54
(e) In-house			36
(4)	Construction Start		96 D	ŒC
b. Equipme other appro	nt associated with this project will be provide oriations: N/A	ed from		
ocher appro	priacions. N/A			

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE
AFR	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D (computer generated)	1 9 MAR 1998
3. INSTALLAT	TLE -ADD/ALTER	
BEALE AIR FOR	RCE BASE, CALIFORNIA OPERATIONS TR	AINING_
5. PROGRAM EI	LEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8	PROJECT COST(\$000)

5.53.96F	171-445	BAEY95	02001	R1		460
	9. cos	r ESTIMATES	S			
					UNIT	COST
	ITEM		U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD/AI	TER OPERATIONS					
TRAINING			LS			383
ADD OFFICES/BRIE	FING ROOM		SF	4,900	70	(343)
ALTER TRAINING SI	PACE		LS			(40)
SUPPORTING FACILITY	IES					10
PAVEMENTS			LS			(_10)
SUBTOTAL						393
CONTINGENCY (10%)						_39
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	r					432
SUPERVISION, INSPEC	CTION AND OVERHEAD	ጋ (6%)				26
TOTAL REQUEST						458
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUN	NDED)					460
						1
] ,			

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a new two story office and briefing room on the hangar floor of facility 1076. Renovate the existing administrative area to include security locks, upgraded restrooms, and reconfigure the existing interior.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Construct administrative space in the hangar floor area and upgrade existing administrative space in facility 1076 to accommodate the 940th Air Refueling Group.

REQUIREMENT: The relocation of the 940th Air Refueling Wing from McClellan AFB to Beale AFB under BRAC 91 requires adequate space to accommodate the 940th Operations Group. Facilities are necessary to train officer and enlisted reservists in their wartime taskings and support of a deployable reserve tanker squadron.

CURRENT SITUATION: Facility 1076 is available for this function, but it must be renovated first.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation, which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active force under activation conditions.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide".

1. COMPONE	- 1	2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT D	ATA
AFR	(computer generated)	i 9 MAR 1995
3. INSTALI	ATION AND LOCATION	
	FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	
4. PROJECT	TITLE	5. PROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSE	RE-ADD/ALTER OPERATIONS TRAINING	BAEY950200R1
10 00000	DICTIONAL DAMA.	İ
12. SUPPI	EMENTAL DATA:	
a. Esti	mated Design Data:	
a. Esc.	mated besign baca.	
(1)	Status:	
	(a) Date Design Started	95 NOV 10
	(b) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop	
	(c) Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	2%
	(d) Date 35% Designed.	96 MAY 15
İ	(e) Date Design Complete	96 SEP 30
_		
(2)	Basis:	
	(a) Standard or Definitive Design -	
	(b) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3)	Total Cost $(c) = (a) + (b)$ or $(d) + (e)$:	(\$000)
	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	
	(b) All Other Design Costs	45
	(c) Total	45
	(d) Contract	27
	(e) In-house	18
(4)	Construction Start	OK DEG
(4)	Construction Start	96 DEC

b. Equipment associated with this project will be provided from other appropriations: N/A

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONS	STRUCTION PROJECT DATA	1 9 MAR 1996
AFR	(computer	generated)	1 2 man 1930
3 TNCTATIATIO	NO TOCATTON	A DROTECT TITLE	

INSTALLATION AND LOCATION

4. PROJECT TITLE

FACILITIES

BASE CLOSURE-ALTER LOGISTICS

BEALE AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA

5. PROGRAM ELEMENT 6. CATEGORY CODE 7. PROJECT NUMBER 8. PROJECT COST(\$000)

5.53.96F 171-443 BAEY950201R1 520

9.	COST	ESTIMATES
----	------	-----------

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ALTER LOGISTICS FACILITIES	LS			421
ALTER GROUPCC/STAFF	SF	3,000	27	(81)
MAINT SQD ADMIN	SF	1,250	40	(50)
MAINT SHOP OFFICES	LS			(165)
ALTER MAINT SHOPS	LS			(95)
ALTER AGE RESTROOMS	LS			(30)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	[25
PAVEMENTS	LS			(_25)
SUBTOTAL			:	446
CONTINGENCY (10%)				<u>45</u>
TOTAL CONTRACT COST	[491
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				_29
TOTAL REQUEST	1			520
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				520
		1		

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Alter administrative space in facilities 1086/1092/1075, and renovate the restrooms in facility 1225.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Modify space to provide administrative space and shop space in support of KC-135 Operations.

REQUIREMENT: Relocation of the 940th Air Refueling Wing from McCellan AFB to Beale AFB under BRAC 91 requires alteration of existing facilities for efficient use by the Wing.

CURRENT SITUATION: Buildings 1075/1086/1092/1225 are available for use by the Wing to support the requirements. However, the facilities must be altered from current use before the new functions can be relocated.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation, which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active forces.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". Design of this project is complete. Bids have been opened and the construction contract is ready for award.

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DA	TA	1 9 MAR 1996
AFR	(computer generated)		1 5 MART 1555
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION		
	RCE BASE, CALIFORNIA		C TECH WILLIAM
4. PROJECT T	TILE	5. PI	ROJECT NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE-	-ALTER LOGISTICS FACILITIES	BA	AEY950201R1
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:		
a. Estimat	ted Design Data:		
(1) St	catus:		
(a)	Date Design Started		95 NOV 10
(b)	-	costs	N
(c)	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996		2%
	Date 35% Designed.		96 MAY 15
(e)	Date Design Complete		96 SEP 30
(2) Ba	asis:		
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design -		
(p)	Where Design Was Most Recently Used -		
(3) To	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):		(\$000)
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications		
	All Other Design Costs		52
	Total		52
• •	Contract		31
(e)	In-house		21
(4) Co	onstruction Start		96 DEC
b. Equipment other approp	associated with this project will be provideriations: N/A	ed fro	om

1. COMPONENT			2. DATE		
		ONSTRUCTION PROJECT	DATA 1 9 MAR 1995		
USAFR	(computer generated)				
3. INSTALLATION	AND LOCATION	4. PROJECT	TITLE		
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER					
BEALE AIR FORCE	BASE, CALIFORNIA	SUPPORT FACT	ILITIES		
5. PROGRAM ELEM	ENT 6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJECT NUMBER	8. PROJECT COST(\$000)		
	1				
2.71.34	730-142	BAEY939108	300		

9. COST ESTIMATES

			UNIT	COST
ITEM	U/M	QUANTITY	COST	(\$000)
BASE CLOSURE-ADD TO AND ALTER SUPPORT				
FACILITIES	LS			215
FIRE STATION	SF	1,000	130	(130)
SERVICES TRAINING/STORAGE/DINING ALTER	SF	1,500	30	(45)
POL OPS TRAINING	SF	400	100	(40)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES				50
UTILITIES	LS			(25)
PAVEMENTS	LS			(10)
SITE IMPROVEMENTS	LS			(<u>15</u>)
SUBTOTAL				265
CONTINGENCY (5%)				<u>13</u>
TOTAL CONTRACT COST				278
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION AND OVERHEAD (6%)				_17
TOTAL REQUEST				295
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)				300
	1	1		I

- 10. Description of Proposed Construction: Add to new fire base fire station. Add to new base POL operations facility. Alter existing space near base flightline for a dining hall. Match existing construction. Air Conditioning: 5 KW.
- 11. REQUIREMENT: 57,700 SF ADEQUATE: 30,000 SF SUBSTANDARD: 1,500 SF PROJECT: Add to and alter host base facilities to accommodate the relocation of the 940th Air Refueling Group(ARG) from McClellan AFB, CA. REQUIREMENT: Facilities are required to train reserve support specialists in their wartime taskings in support of a deployable reserve tanker squadron.

<u>CURRENT SITUATION</u>: Existing and programmed facilities at Beale must be increased in scope and/or altered to accommodate reserve firefighters, POL operations, and services specialists and to store their deployable training assets. The host has made available existing space near the flightline dining facility which must be altered for the reserve services specialists.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The unit will not have adequate facilities upon relocation which will negatively impact their ability to fully augment the active force under activation conditions.

<u>ADDITIONAL</u>: Funding is to be provided by the Base Closure Account. There is no scope/criteria for this project in Part II of the Military Handbook 1190, "Facility Planning and Design Guide". The Fire Station portion is conjunctive funding to a FY 93 regular MILCON project.

 COMPONENT 		2. DATE
	FY 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT	ra lá É ÉÁI 1950
USAFR	(computer generated)	
3. INSTALLAT	ION AND LOCATION	
	DOT DAGE GALLBODALA	
4. PROJECT T	RCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	5. PROJECT NUMBER
4. PROJECI I	1166	5. PROJECI NUMBER
BASE CLOSURE	-ADD TO AND ALTER SUPPORT FACILITIES	BAEY939108
12. SUPPLEM	ENTAL DATA:	
a. Estima	ted Design Data:	
(1) S	tatus:	
• •) Date Design Started	93 OCT 01
•) Parametric Cost Estimates used to develop o	
-	Percent Complete as of Jan 1996	100%
•) Date 35% Designed.	93 DEC 01
(e) Date Design Complete	94 JUL 23
(2) B	asis:	
, ,) Standard or Definitive Design -	
•) Where Design Was Most Recently Used -	
(3) T	otal Cost (c) = (a) + (b) or (d) + (e):	(\$000
) Production of Plans and Specifications	(\$000
•) All Other Design Costs	30
) Total	30
•) Contract	18
(e) In-house	12
(4) C	onstruction Start	96 OCT
	t associated with this project will be provide	ed from
other approp	riations: N/A	

96

Pease AFB, New Hampshire Package

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/PEASE AFB, NH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/PEASE AFB, NH (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TO SE SHAPE DESCRIPTION COSTS	TX 100 c	DVI 400#	TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	0-
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,340	2,637	4,977
Operation & Maintenance	212	227	439
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	2,552	2,864	5,416
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	2,552	2,864	5,416
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	2
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	2,340	2,637	4,977
Operation & Maintenance	212	227	439
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	2,552	2,864	5,416
EXHIBIT BC-02			98

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1988 Commission PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Pease Air Force Base, New Hampshire

Closure Package: Pease AFB closed at the end of the second quarter of FY 1991.

One Time Implementations Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.2 Million

Procurement Items: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$2.6 Million.

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

Norton AFB, California Package

Base Realignment and Closure Detail

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/NORTON AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	. 0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	0	0	0	0
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	•	•	_	_
Military Construction	0	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	. 0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0	0
Other Homogymers Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program Powerpus from Lond Sales ()	0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	0	0	. 0	0

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/NORTON AFB, CA (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	0	
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	6,400	8,546	14,946
Operation & Maintenance	98	102	200
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	. 0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	6,498	8,648	15,146
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	6,498	8,648	15,146
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	0	0	.0
SAVINGS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	. 0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:			
Military Construction	0	0	0
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	6,400	8,546	14,946
Operation & Maintenance	98	102	200
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	9
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	6,498	8,648	15,146

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE 1988 Commission PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Norton Air Force Base, California

Closure Package: Norton AFB is closed in FY 1994.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: N/A.

Conjunctively-Funded Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Construction: N/A.

Family Housing Operations: N/A.

Operation and Maintenance: \$0.1 Million

Procurement Items: N/A.

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A.

Environmental: \$8.5 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included on exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

U.S. Air Force Program Management Summary

1991 Commission

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Military Construction	16,014	25,628	79	660
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	16,603	24,118	1,872	4,897
Operation & Maintenance	285	22,347	13,425	10,325
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2	1	0
Other	125	4	2	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	33,027	72,099	15,378	15,882
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	. 0	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	33,027	72,099	15,378	15,882
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:				
Military Construction	9,756	0	0	0
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	48,617	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	58,373	0	0	0
SAVINGS:				
Military Construction	114,528	12,408	19,012	21,487
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0	0
Military Personnel	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0
Civilian ES	0	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	. 0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	114,528	12,408	19,012	21,487
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:				
Military Construction	-88,758	13,220	-18,933	-20,827
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0	0
Environmental	16,603	24,118	1,872	4,897
Operation & Maintenance	48,902	22,347	13,425	10,325
Military Personnel - PCS	0	2	1	0
Other	125	4	2	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	. 0	0	0	0
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0	0
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	-23,128	59,691	-3,634	-5,605

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT - 1991 COMMISSION USAF/PROGRAM MANAGEMENT (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

			TOTAL
ONE TIME IMPLEMENTATION COSTS:	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 92 - 97
Military Construction	0	580	42,961
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	26,130	25,041	98,661
Operation & Maintenance	4,843	17,555	68,780
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	3
Other	0	0	131
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME COSTS	30,973	43,176	210,535
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	0
BUDGET REQUEST	30,973	43,176	210,535
FUNDED OUTSIDE OF THE ACCOUNT:			
Military Construction	0	0	9,756
Family Housing - Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	17,006	0	65,623
Other	0	0	0
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0
TOTAL FUNDED OUTSIDE THE ACCOUNT	17,006	0	75,379
SAVINGS:	00.005	22.005	010.645
Military Construction	22,225	22,985	212,645
Family Housing - Construction	0	0	0
- Operations	0	0	0
Operation & Maintenance	0	0	0
Military Personnel - PCS	0	0	0
Other Civilian ES	0	0	0
Military ES	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	22,225	22,985	212,645
	22,220	,, 00	,
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS: Military Construction	-22,225	-22,405	-159,928
Family Housing - Construction	0	-22,403	-159,528
- Operations	0	0	0
Environmental	26,130	25,041	98,661
Operation & Maintenance	20,130	17,555	134,403
Military Personnel - PCS	21,049	17,555	3
Other	0	0	131
Homeowners Assistance Program	0	0	0-
Revenues from Land Sales (-)	0	0	
NET IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	25,754	20,191	73,269
EVIDET DC 02	,	-, -	·

EXHIBIT BC-02

104

BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PACKAGE DESCRIPTION

U.S. Air Force/Program Management

<u>Closure Package</u>: Program management includes overall one time implementation costs which are not categorized by closure base. These costs include environmental studies, and headquarters management requirements.

One Time Implementation Costs:

Military Construction: .6 Million

Conjunctively Funded Construction: N/A

Family Housing Construction: N/A

Family Housing Operations: N/A

Operation and Maintenance: \$17.6 Million

Other Procurement: N/A

Revenues From Land Sales: N/A

Environmental: \$25.0 Million

<u>Savings</u>: Savings identified are generated by no longer operating the installation. They are included in Exhibit BC-02 for display purposes only, and will be realized in other Air Force appropriations.

1. COMPONENT									2.	DATE
	F:	Y 1997 MILITARY C	ONSTRUCT	ION	PRO	DJECT	DATA	,		
AIR FORCE			er gener					I		
3. INSTALLATI	ON AN	D LOCATION		4.	PRO	JECT T	ITLE	S		
			j	_						
VARIOUS LOCAT						NING				2000 (0000)
5. PROGRAM EL	EMENT	6. CATEGORY CODE	7. PROJ	ECT	NUI	1BER	8. F	KOJEC	T (COST(\$000)
9.12.11		010-211	BCL9	7RD	2					580
		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T ESTIMA							
								UNIT	•	COST
		ITEM			U/M	QUANT	ITY	COST	•	(\$000)
BC-PLANNING A	ND DE	SIGN			LS		į			580
SUBTOTAL				ſ			ı			580
TOTAL CONTRAC		r .		- 1			l			580
TOTAL REQUEST				- 1]			580
TOTAL REQUEST	(ROUI	NDED)		- 1						580
							l			
				- 1			1			
		•		- 1						
				- 1						
				- 1			-			
				1						
2										
				- 1			- 1			
								-		
						:				
1					į		1			
10 Deceriat		E Dunnand Commt-								

10. Description of Proposed Construction: The funds requested will finance architectural and engineering services and construction design for the Air Force Base Closure and Realignment Military Construction Program.

11. REQUIREMENT: As required.

PROJECT: Planning and Design

REQUIREMENT: Planning and Design funds are required for design of facilities in the BRAC 91 Base Closure and Realignment Military Construction Program or for redesign of projects contained in the BARC 91 programas determined by Air Force and Department of Defense audits.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: The BRAC 91 program will not be postured for execution and will not meet its BRAC Commission mandate without proper and timely funding.

ADDITIONAL: Funding is to be provided from the Base Closure Account.

FY 1997 Budget Estimates Base Realignment and Closure Part FY 1997 BRAC Military Construction Projects

Component/State/Project Description	BRAC Round	Amount (\$000)
Air Force 91 BRAC Construction Fiscal Year 1997		
California		
Beale AFB ADAL Support Facility (BAEY939108) Alter CE Facilities (BAEY9502040R1) ADAL Operations Facility (BAEY950200R1) Alter Logistics Facility (BAEY950201R1)		300 900 460 520
Vandenberg AFB Site Utilities (XUMU963007) Subtotal Air Force California	II	<u>2,900</u> 5,080
Colorado		
Buckley ANGB Enlisted Dorm (CRWU953050) Subtotal Air Force Colorado	ti .	<u>8,150</u> 8,150
Indiana Origana ABC		
Grissom ARS Munitions Storage (CTGC959019) Subtotal Air Force Indiana	II	<u>1,500</u> 1,500
Mississippi Keesler AFB Add to Physical Fitness Center (MAHG913034) Subtotal Air Force Mississippi	II	<u>690</u> 690
Ohio		555
Rickenbacker ANGB Alter Base Maintenance Shops (NLZG939686) Alter Support Shops (NLZG939687) Alter Fuel System Maintenance Dock (NLZG939700) Jet Fuel Storage/Distribution (NLZG939729)	11 11 11 11	1,950 2,000 1,200 9,000
Wright-Patterson AFB NAOC Complex (ZHTV943204) Subtotal Air Force Ohio	II	<u>5,100</u> 19,250
Texas		·
Lackland AFB Alter Technical Training (MPYJ953260) ADAL Physical Fitness (MPLS913337)	11 11	2,250 1,600
Sheppard AFB Add to Chapel (VNVP933025) Subtotal Air Force Texas	11	<u>700</u> 4,550
Various		
Various Planning and Design (BCL97RD4) Subtotal Air Force Various	u	<u>580</u> 580
Total Air Force 91 BRAC Construction FY 97		39,800

Air Force BRAC 91 Family Housing Fiscal Year 1997

Oklahoma

Altus AFB

Family Housing (AGGN954015) II <u>22,973</u> Subtotal Air Force Oklahoma 22,973

Total Air Force BRAC 91 Family Housing FY 97 22,973

FY 1997 Budget Estimates BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE - PART I Loss of Land Revenue - Air Force (Dollars in Thousands)

Location	FY 1992	<u>FY1993</u>	FY1994	<u>F1995</u>
George Air Force Base, CA	-	-	60,000	30,000
Mather Air Force Base, CA	-	-	160,000	145,000
Norton Air Force Base, CA	-	-	-	100,000
Chanute Air Force Base, IL	-	-	8,000	5,000
Pease Air Force Base, IL	-	120,000		-
Total:	-	120,000	228,000	280,000

FY 1997 Budget Estimates BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE - PART II Loss of Land Revenue - Air Force (Dollars in Thousands)

Location	<u>FY 1994</u>	<u>FY 1995</u>	<u>FY 1996</u>	FY 1997
Williams Air Force Base, AZ	•	8,000	•	-
Eaker Air Force Base, AR	-	8,000	-	-
Castle Air Force Base, CA	-		-	27,000
Lowry Air Force Base, CO	•	-	100,000	-
MacDill Air Force Base, FL	-	-	50,000	-
Grissom Air Force Base, IN	-	-	8,000	-
England Air Force Base, LA	-	-	-	-
Loring Air Force Base, ME	-	8,000	•	-
Wurtsmith Air Force Base, MI	-	-	8,000	-
Richards Gebaur Air Force Reserve Station, MO	-		8,000	-
Rickenbacker Air National Guard Base, OH	•	-	8,000	-
Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, SC	•	8,000	-	-
Bergstrom Air Force Base, TX	-	8,000	-	-
Carswell Air Force Base, TX	-	8,000	•	-
George Air Force Base, CA	-	-	-	-
Mather Air Force Base, CA	-	-	•	-
Chanute Air Force Base, IL	-	-	-	-
Total:	-	48,000	182,000	27,000

Base Civil Engineer List

Base Closure and Realignment Detail

BASE CIVIL ENGINEERS BRAC Installations (As of 19 Mar 96)

Base	State	Name	Phone
Altus	OK	Lt Col Ronnie Coke	(405) 481-6530
Beale	CA	Lt Col Martin Lewis	(916) 634-2942
Buckley	CO	Mr Raiph Conte	(301) 836-8113
Carswell	TX	Mr Tom Hovey	(912) 327-1060
Columbus	MS	Lt Col Larry Brittenham	(601) 434-7327
Edwards	CA	Col Steven Kukuk	(805) 277-2910
Griffiss	NY	Mr Sherman Pike	(315) 330-3416
Grissom	IN	Mr Tom Hovey	(912) 327-1060
Keesler	MS	Lt Col Alexander Earle	(601) 377-2614
Lackland	TX	Lt Col Paul Somers	(210) 925-6901
Laughlin	TX	Maj Hal Tinsley	(210) 298-5059
MacDill	FL	Lt Col Dale Bradley	(813) 828-3577
March	CA	Mr Tom Hovey	(912) 327-1060
McGuire	NJ	Col Richard Cardinale	(609) 724-2642
Mt Home	ID	Lt Col Jon Verlinde	(208) 828-6353
Patrick	FL	Lt Col Tom Udall	(407) 494-4041
Rickenbacker	OH	Mr Ralph Conte	(301) 836-8113
Sheppard	TX	Lt Coi Lavon Alston	(817) 676-2158
Travis	CA	Col Homer Guy	(707) 424-2492
Vandenberg	CA	Col Sam Garcia	(805) 734-8232
Wright-Patterson	OH	Col Louis Hauck	(513) 257-6214